

## **Technology Plan Core Group**

## **AGENDA**

March 22, 2022 2:10pm – 4:00pm, **Zoom Conference** 

Members: Carl Chiu, Janith Norman, Nina Ghiselli, Kimberly Wentworth, Courtney Diputado, Jennifer Garcia, Eloine Chapman, Sandra Mills, Cesar Reyes, Robert Estrada, Rikki Hall, Jinpa Tharchin

Facilitators: Carlos Montoya, Chialin Hsieh

Item #	Topic/Activity	Lead	Notes
1.	Welcome (2min)	Carl Chiu	No Public Comment  Carl Chiu Carlos Montoya Cameron Bluford Courtney Diputado Michael Schenone Robert Estrada Chialin Hsieh Jennifer Garcia Sandra Mills Nicole Almassey Sophia Ramirez
2.	Review Agenda (3min)	Carlos M. / Carl Chiu	Agenda Reviewed
3.	Review initial draft of Technology Plan 2020-2025	Carlos M.	<ul> <li>Current draft version review.</li> <li>Carlos proposes for the Draft Technology Plan change from 2020 - 2025 to 2020 - 2030.</li> <li>Carlos reviews minimum technology standards and refresh and cycles.</li> <li>Sandra Mill expresses concern over the length Draft Technology Plan extending until 2030.</li> <li>Carlos explain it wouldn't have to be rewritten until 2030, but that it should revised and tweaked as necessary.</li> <li>Sandra Mills also expresses concern over the</li> </ul>

			<ul> <li>infrastructure of the online environment as teaching models are leaning towards a virtual environment.</li> <li>Carlos clarifies that these concerns are slightly addressed in goals 2 and 3. And specifically in 2.8 that points to student support and operational departments.</li> <li>Janith Norman points that distance education will still require a virtual environment and therefore the technology associated with this environment will still necessitate a virtual infrastructure.</li> <li>Chialin suggest that the technology plan change from 2022 to 2026 to align with EMP. Then, it would allow additional time to implement the plan in 2030.</li> <li>Carlos suggest that the plan go until 2028, to allow enough time to write accreditation study.</li> <li>Group decides that 2030 is too long.</li> <li>Group decides the best timeline for the Technology plan is 2022-2028.</li> <li>Reason for change: So that it aligns and carry us to the next accreditation visit and it doesn't conflict with our self-study and EMP.</li> <li>Carlos asks group if the Technology Plan draft could be presented to different constituency groups and committees.</li> <li>The following people will present to the respective committees:  AS = Janith Norman to email Teresea Archaga Classified Senate = Nicole Almassey DE = Janith Norman Planning Committee: Chialin Hsieh EEO = Carlos</li> <li>SGC = Carlos to ask President Bob if now is the time, or to wait until after first pass of reviews.</li> <li>PDAC = Courtney</li> <li>Dept. Chairs = Chialin to pass to Natalie Hannum Student Senate = Carlos</li> <li>SEM = Carlos</li> </ul>
4.	Discuss timelines and constituency review plan	Carlos M.	• Further plans to be reviewed next meeting.
		Carlos M. / Chialin Hsieh	No comments.
5.	Closing Comments / Next Steps (5min)	Carios IVI. / Cilianni fisien	1.0 Commonto.