

Shared Governance Council

Minutes (DRAFT)

Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026

Time: 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Location: L-109 (Pittsburg), BRT-135 (Brentwood), and Zoom

Attendees:

- Members – R. Anicetti (Zoom), C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Goff (Zoom), C. Montoya, P. Ralston, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, G. Villegas
- Others Present – R. Armendáriz, R. Pedersen [presenters]; L. Esparza (Zoom), A. Soto (Zoom), S. Woltz [guests]; J. Adams [support]

1. Welcome

- President Ralston welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2. Public Comment

- It was noted that the Art Gallery would be holding a reception (4:00 – 6:00 p.m.) and artist talk (4:30 p.m.) this afternoon for its new exhibition.
- Dr. Montoya referenced a recent discussion with PIO/Marketing Director Juliet Casey regarding web accessibility, and reminded the group about the accessible agenda/minutes template that SGC, all committees, and the Senates should begin using to comply with legislative requirements.

3. Review

- **3/11/26 Agenda**
 - SGC approved the 3/11 agenda.
 - *MSC: R. Anicetti/G. Villegas. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Montoya, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
- **2/25/26 Draft Minutes**
 - SGC reviewed the 2/25 draft minutes. It was noted that item #4a should include a notation that Dr. Montoya will inform the TAG members and campus community about that committee's status change and future plans. SGC approved the minutes, as amended.
 - *MSC: C. Montoya/I. Sukhu. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Montoya, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – C. Craig-Huddleston, M. Trujillo.*

4. Old Business

- **Updated EEO Committee Proposal – Transition to Advisory Status**
 - Reference Materials
 - [Original EEOC Proposal](#)
 - [Revised EEOAC Charge Sheet](#)
 - [Side-by-Side Proposal Comparison](#)
 - Dr. Armendáriz and Dr. Montoya provided updates regarding EEO proposal questions posed at the last SGC meeting, and shared feedback from the Senates and Brentwood Center.
 - SGC unanimously approved the EEO Committee proposal (dated 11/18/25) to transition to an advisory body (and no longer be a sub-committee of SGC). *MSC: R. Anicetti/G. Villegas. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Montoya, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
- **Planning & Institutional Effectiveness**
 - Update on [Educational Strategic Plan \(ESP\) Draft Goals & Objectives](#)
 - Senior Dean Pedersen reminded the group that, as a step toward solidifying language in the Goal areas, SGC reps were tasked with capturing feedback from their respective constituency groups. The feedback collected included:
 - Classified Senate – change “strengthen and inclusive” to “foster and strengthen” (Goal 1), add a sentence defining “wraparound services” (Goal 2), clarify if focus is on increasing number of partnerships or deepening existing relationships (Goal 3), and refine language with wordsmithing (Goal 4);
 - Academic Senate – clarify “true sense of belonging” vs. “sense of belonging,” and identify other means beyond anti-racism to improve campus culture (Goal 1); and define “wraparound services” (Goal 2);
 - Management Team – consider addressing wordy language, reference transparency in planning (Goal 1); concern regarding ability to achieve with staffing and budget limitations (Goal 2); add job training, include employment and career (Goal 3); and add budget and planning transparency (Goal 4);
 - LMCAS – too many “and” uses, consider adding a reference to what the “environment” on campus will be (Goal 1); remove “and,” define “wraparound services” (Goal 2); address wordy language, consider incorporating something dynamic like “responsive to meaningful change and growth” (Goal 4).
 - For the next step, the SGC constituency leads will convene to work on tightening up the draft Goal language, based on the feedback collected, and provide it to Senior Dean Pedersen by noon on April 3. It will then be reviewed by SGC at the April 8 meeting.

- **Participatory Governance – Establishing Task Teams for Focused Review Work**
 - Reference Materials
 - [2024 Task Group Report](#)
 - [Operational Guidelines for Committees](#)
 - **SGC Facilitation & Schedule Task Team**
 - [Facilitation & Schedule Task Team Recommendations](#)
 - The Task Team reviewed their recommendations, which include: 1) new meeting time of 2:30 – 3:55 p.m. on the 2nd & 4th Wednesdays [to avoid conflicts with classes ending at 2:10 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. childcare pick-up]; and 2) a rotating quad-chair facilitation model on a two-year cycle. SGC discussed prospective benefits and challenges of the proposed quad-chair model (e.g. voting or non-voting quad-chairs, number of quad-chairs compared to regular members, etc). The SGC members will review the Task Team proposal further and share feedback at the next meeting.
 - **Budget Committee Exploration & Development Task Team**
 - President Ralston reminded SGC of their prior discussion about exploring the establishment of a Budget Committee, and that representatives had been asked to collect feedback from their respective constituency groups on how to approach the process (e.g. do we create a task group to develop a budget committee proposal, who serves on the task group [SGC members and/or non-SGC reps], and is there a proposed scope for the purpose of the budget committee).
 - SGC approved a motion to extend the meeting by 5 minutes. *MSC: I. Sukhu/G. Villegas. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Montoya, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
 - SGC discussed the Budget & Planning Council and Budget Committee (part of the proposed four-council structure) in the Participatory Governance Task Group’s 2024 Assessment Report in comparison to the budget committee concept that has been the focus of dialogue in recent SGC meetings. After further consideration and feedback, this discussion at the April 8 SGC meeting.

5. New Business

- [Draft Monday Meeting Calendar for 2026-27](#)
 - This item was deferred due to time limitations.

6. Budget [standing item]

- **Resource Allocation Process (RAP) Spring 2026 Cycle**
 - **Proposal on IDEA/SGC RAP Priorities**
 - This item was deferred due to time limitations.

7. Accreditation [standing item]

- [ACCJC Standard IV \(with Review Criteria & Evidence Suggestions\)](#)
- No items for 3/11/26

8. Curriculum – New Instructional Program Proposals [standing item]

- No items for 3/11/26

9. Updates & Constituency Group Reports [standing item]

- This item was deferred due to time limitations.

10. Community College Items of Interest – Legislation, Research & Best [standing item]

- No items for 3/11/26

11. Campus Communications – Actions & Notable Items to Report from SGC to Constituency Groups [standing item]

- Members should share with their respective constituency groups that SGC: approved the EEO Committee proposal to become an advisory body; reviewed feedback on draft language for the ESP Goals; reviewed the task team recommendations for SGC facilitation and meeting time; and continued discussions regarding consideration of establishing a Budget Committee.

12. Adjournment

- The meeting was adjourned at 4:05 p.m. by consensus.