LOS MEDANOS COLLEGE

Shared Governance Council

Minutes (draft)

Date: Wednesday, October 28, 2026
Time: 2:30 p.m. – 3:55 p.m.
Location: L-109 (Pittsburg), BRT-135 (Brentwood), and Zoom (Meeting ID 202 075 103)

Members present: R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Goff [Zoom], L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan [Zoom], P. Ralston, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield
Others present: R. Pedersen, A. Simone, A. Soto [Zoom], I. Sukhu [Zoom], S. Woltz [Zoom] (guests); J. Adams (support).

1. Welcome & Public Comment

- Vice Chair Richard Stanfield welcomed everyone to the meeting.
- Public Comment – N/A

2. Review of Agenda & Minutes

- **10/28/26 Agenda**
  - SGC reviewed the agenda and approved a motion to shift 5 minutes from Item #5 (RAP Subgroup Report-Out) to Item #6 (Budget Committee Proposal). *MSC: A. Rosewood/E. Sanchez. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
  - It was noted that there was a typo in the spelling of Liz Esparza's last name on the membership list. SGC approved the agenda, as amended (five-minute shift, correction to Ms. Esparza's name). *MSC: A. Rosewood/M. Lynn. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
- **10/14/26 Draft Minutes**
  - SGC reviewed and approved the minutes. *MSC: M. Capes/N. Marroquin. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – R. Stanfield.*

3. SGC Orientation & Quad-Chair Model

- **Introduction of Fall 2026 Quad-Chair Model**
  - Vice Chair Stanfield provided an overview of the Fall 2026 Quad-Chair process, which was displayed on the screen and had been shared with SGC in advance.

DRAFT

4. SGC November Meeting Calendar

- Vice Chair Stanfield and the Quad Chairs explained the challenges with SGC's November dates: 1) the 11/11 Veterans Day holiday; and, 2) the prospect of low attendance for the 11/25 meeting (e.g. possibly one constituency group absent). SGC discussed and considered several factors and options, including: the impact on the RAP timeline, without an "actionable" SGC meeting in November; the possibility of meeting on 11/25 for informational items only; the timing of meeting dates, in order to share RAP info with the Senates; and the feasibility of meeting on an alternate date.
- SGC approved a motion to change this item to an action item. *MSC: C. Craig-Huddleston/M. Capes. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
- Carissa Craig-Huddleston made a motion to hold an SGC meeting on 11/4 at 2:30 p.m. (in place of the 11/11 meeting. *MSC: C. Craig-Huddleston/M. Capes*.
- During SGC's discussion, it was noted that Monday, November 16, is another available option (no College Assembly or Senate meetings). That date would provide more time for the RAP Subgroup to complete its work, as well as time to share the RAP info with the Senates for their 11/30 meetings.
- SGC approved a friendly amendment to the motion to meet on November 16 (3:15 – 4:00 p.m.) instead of November 4 (2:30 p.m.). *MSC: M. Capes/ C. Craig-Huddleston. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, , E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – A. Rosewood*.
- SGC plans to still hold the 11/25 meeting; some constituency groups may be represented by one member that day (using proxy votes), and some attendees will only be able to participate via Zoom.

5. **Budget** [standing item]

- **Resource Allocation Process (RAP) Subgroup Report-Out**
    - Rachel Anicetti shared that the RAP Subgroup met, went over the process for different funding formulas, and reviewed how the spreadsheet has been adjusted to enhance transparency. They are setting up additional meeting dates, which will include norming before reviewing the proposals. Dean Anicetti noted that LMCAS is still able to have a representative participate on the Subgroup for their remaining meetings. Recognizing that students' availability is limited, she also offered to have the Subgroup's RAP funding recommendations presented to LMCAS (if a student rep can't take part in the Subgroup meetings). LMCAS President Marroquin stated that, if the Subgroup emails her about the RAP presentation by Thursdays at 1:00 p.m., she can place the item on their agenda.

DRAFT

6. Budget Committee Proposal – Constituency Feedback & Next Steps

- Vice Chair Stanfield displayed the draft Budget Committee proposal and invited the SGC members to share feedback from their respective constituency groups. Liz Esparza shared Classified Senate feedback/questions, including: which processes the Budget Committee will be responsible for; if it's advisory or a decision-making body; how information will be communicated to the College; how members are selected/appointed; how the Budget Committee's effectiveness will be evaluated; and if the Committee could be advisory to the President (for RAP/budget recommendations), and still report to SGC. Eric Sanchez shared the Academic Senate feedback/questions, which included: having the Budget Committee report to SGC, with its recommendations proposed to the President; communicating recommendations to constituency groups; identifying the regular meeting schedule (date/time/frequency); increasing the number of constituency reps from 2 to 3; understanding why the proposed structure looks different from other committees; updating/revising SGC's charges (since it no longer handles RAP); and finding out why the Chair would be a voting member (when the role is non-voting on SGC and other committees). Carissa Craig-Huddleston and Rachel Anicetti noted that the Management Team feedback was similar to what had already been voiced, including questions about: why the Budget Committee wouldn't report to SGC; and why it would have 2 reps from each constituency group, instead of 3. They added that the Management Team had expressed overall support for establishing a Budget Committee, and support for having the Budget Committee report directly to SGC (with RAP recommendations submitted directly to the President).
- SGC considered the feedback and questions posed, and discussed: delineating what the Budget Committee takes to SGC versus directly to the President (referencing the examples of Curriculum Committee and Academic Senate, Planning Committee and the President, and PDAC and the President); possible challenges with filling all of the student rep slots; having a standing item on SGC agendas for Budget Committee informational items; establishing the Budget Committee as a two-year pilot; and determining the term limit for Budget Committee members (e.g. 1 year or 2 years).
- SGC approved a motion to have the Budget Committee's report to SGC, with RAP recommendations going directly to the President. *MSC: A. Rosewood/E. Sanchez. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*
- SGC then reviewed the draft Budget Committee charge sheet and incorporated several revisions, including: establishing the Committee in Spring 2027, pending SGC approval (instead of Fall 2026); modified "Committee Charges" bullet #2 to include "…and proposes recommendations to the President"; modified "Committee Charges" bullet #3 to add "…and other committees (e.g. IDEA, PDAC, etc.)"; changed the Co-Chair roles to non-voting, rotating the model over a two-year cycle; and changed the number of members to 3 per constituency group (instead of 2).
- SGC approved the updated Budget Committee charge sheet. *MSC: M. Capes/R. Anicetti. Yeas – R. Anicetti, M. Capes, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Esparza, M. Lynn, N. Marroquin, M. Mohan, A. Rosewood, E. Sanchez, R. Stanfield; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.*

DRAFT

7. Accreditation [standing item] – N/A

8. Curriculum – New Instructional Program Proposals [no items]

9. Updates & Constituency Group Reports [standing item]

- SGC was unable to get to this item due to time constraints.

10. Community College Items of Interest – Legislation, Research & Best Practices [standing item] – N/A

11. Campus Communications – Actions & Notable Items to Report from SGC to Constituency Groups [standing item]

- SGC was unable to get to this item due to time constraints.

12. Adjournment

- SGC adjourned by consensus at 3:57 p.m.

DRAFT