

**Shared Governance Council**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

January 28, 2026

2:00 – 4:00 p.m., In Person (L-109 & BRT-135) and via Zoom

MEMBERS PRESENT: R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, C. Goff (Zoom), L. Marroquin (Zoom), P. Ralston, E. Sanchez, J. Solano, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo (L-109, during ESP goals), D. Valencia

OTHER ATTENDEES: R. Armendariz, D. Belman, R. Pedersen [presenters]; C. Grubbs, S. Woltz, M. Lynn (Zoom), C. Kosavage (Zoom) [guest]; J. Adams [support]

<i>Item #</i>	<i>Topic/Activity</i>	<i>Action(s)</i>	<i>Handouts/References</i>
1.	<b>Welcome –</b>		
2.	<b>Public Comment –</b>		
3.	<b>Review</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agenda: SGC approved the agenda. <i>MSC: I Sukhu/C. Craig-Huddleston. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Marroquin, E. Sanchez, J. Solano, I. Sukhu, D. Valencia. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></li> <li>Minutes: SGC approved the 12/10 minutes. <i>MSC: R. Anicetti/C. Craig-Huddleston. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Marroquin, E. Sanchez, J. Solano, I. Sukhu, D. Valencia. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A</i></li> </ul>	Agenda approved  12/10/25 minutes approved	<a href="#">Draft 12/10/25 minutes</a>
4.	<b>New Business</b>		
4a.	<b>2026 Commencement Ceremony</b> President Ralston invited Dave Belman, Dean of Student Success, to present info regarding the 2026 Graduation ceremony. Dean Belman explained that the Commencement Ceremony is the biggest celebration LM holds each year. Over time, the event has grown – and outgrown the Stadium, which is the largest venue on campus. Logistical challenges include: insufficient parking to accommodate attendees; lengthy time entering/exiting via car; insufficient seating; and, it requires approximately 100 employees to fully staff the ceremony. To address these issues, Dean Belman explained that LMC will be moving it 2026 Commencement Ceremony to the Concord Pavillion. He provided an overview of the facility, and noted that the Concord Pavilion: is the only venue in Contra Costa County that can accommodate our ceremony size; serves as the site for all Mt. Diablo district graduations and Pittsburg High School; is nearly an “all-inclusive” venue; provides more than enough parking and seating, including a considerable amount of covered seating; and requires Minimal set-up by LMC. With the move to the Concord Pavilion, LMC’s ceremony will need to have an earlier 10:00 a.m. start-time, which provides time for DVC’s ceremony there that afternoon. There will be a relatively small number of classes that conflict with the ceremony time, but it’s a smaller number than typically conflict with our “traditional” 2:15 p.m. start-time. LMC will have transportation available for graduates (from our campuses to the Pavilion). Dean Belman shared that another change to the ceremony will be the addition of digital name-reading.		
5.	<b>Old Business</b>		
5a.	<b>Planning &amp; Institutional Effectiveness – Update on Educational Strategic Plan (ESP) Draft Goals</b> Senior Dean Pedersen provided a brief overview of the process used to develop the draft ESP Goal areas, noting that the subgroup (comprised of members from SGC and Planning Committee) met yesterday to refine the goal areas and begin developing objectives. He shared a narrative statement that the subgroup developed to capture/blend the ESP Goal areas. He also presented to SGC the latest updates for the Goal Areas – Campus Culture, Equitable Student Access, Learning & Outcomes; Partnerships, and Continuous Improvement of Practices & Infrastructure. Next steps include: further refinement of the Goal areas; Planning Committee drafting targets around the measurable objectives (2/5 meeting); new Goal Statements and targets to be presented to SGC (2/11 meeting); departments/units complete Program Review deadline (due 2/27); thematically organizing Program Review activities under the ESP Goals and Objectives (March); and delivering the ESP first draft to participatory governance groups (late March/early April).		<a href="#">ESP Draft Goals &amp; Objectives</a>

	5b.	<p><b>Updated EEO Committee Proposal – Transition to Advisory Status</b>            President Ralston reminded SGC that this item is a follow-up to the Equal Employment Opportunity Committee proposal presented in May 2025. At that time, SGC reviewed the document outlining EEOC’s proposed transition to an advisory group (and no longer an SGC subcommittee) and provided feedback and recommendations on revisions. Senior Dean Armendariz provided an overview of the three documents shared with SGC today: 1) the original EEO proposal; 2) the newly-revised EEO charge sheet; and 3) a side-by-side comparison of the two documents. After discussion and consideration, there was consensus among SGC members that it would be helpful to have the updated proposal presented at the three upcoming Senate meetings. As a result, SGC voted down a motion to approve the EEO Committee proposal. <i>MSC: R. Anicetti/D. Valencia. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, E. Sanchez, J. Solano, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, D. Valencia. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – (L. Marroquin).</i></p>	<p>Motion to approve EEO Committee proposal didn’t pass             (SGC will revisit vote after proposal is presented to the three Senates)</p>	<p><a href="#">Original EEOC Proposal</a>  <a href="#">Revised EEOAC Charge Sheet</a>  <a href="#">Side-by-Side Proposal Comparison</a></p>
	5c.	<p><b>Participatory Governance – Check-In &amp; Feedback</b>            As a follow-up to Focused Flex, President Ralston wanted to talk with SGC about revisiting recommendations to consider from the Task Group Participatory Governance Assessment Report. SGC’s discussion included: the Focused Flex presentation (Collegiality in Action: Assuring Effective Participation in District and College Governance @LMC); the meeting schedule for committees, meeting time slot for SGC, and conflicts with the class schedule and childcare pick-ups; the facilitation model for SGC, which could change to a tri-chair format; and 10+1, specifically what the district identifies as “rely primarily” or “mutually agree.” In the interest of time, President Ralston recommended that the Academic Senate continue the conversation around building understanding of 10+1. She also suggested that SGC create workgroups at the next meeting for more focused work on specific topics. Dr. Adrianna Simone, Academic Senate President, offered to provide SGC with a copy of the Focused Flex PPT presentation.</p>		<p><a href="#">2024 Task Group Report Operational Guidelines for Committees</a></p>
6.		<p><b>Budget</b> (<i>standing item</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Resource Allocation Process</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Funding Priorities</b> – SGC reviewed the funding priorities from the Fall 2025 RAP cycle, as well as the college-wide email that launched and agreed to continue the same priorities for the Spring 2026 cycle. The members then discussed the importance of/need for assessing the effectiveness of the funds allocated. It was suggested that the Business Office could contact recipients of RAP funds to close the loop on the process.</li> <li>○ <b>Rating Rubric</b> – SGC reviewed the Fall 2025 rating rubric and agreed to use it as-is for Spring 2026 cycle.</li> <li>○ <b>Motion approved:</b> SGC approved a motion to: move forward with the college-wide email to launch Spring 2026 RAP; continue the Fall 2025 funding priorities as-is for Spring 2026 RAP; continue the Fall 2025 rating rubric as-is for Spring 2026 RAP; keep the RAP application as-is; and to have the Business Office reach out to 2024-25 RAP recipients for an assessment of the funds allocated. <i>MSC: I Sukhu/C. Craig-Huddleston. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Marroquin, E. Sanchez, J. Solano, I. Sukhu, D. Valencia. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>RAP funding priorities and rating rubric approved for Spring 2026 cycle</p>	<p><a href="#">Fall 2025 RAP Rubric</a>  <a href="#">Fall 2025 RAP – college-wide email</a></p>
7.		<p><b>Accreditation</b> (<i>standing item</i>) – Due to time constraints, Senior Dean Pedersen was unable to present the Accreditation update. His PPT slides will be sent to SGC via email following the meeting.</p>		<p><a href="#">Accreditation Process</a></p>
8.		<p><b>Curriculum – New Instructional Program Proposals</b> – N/A</p>		
9.		<p><b>Updates, Announcements &amp; Constituency Reports</b> (<i>standing item</i>) – This item was tabled due to time constraints.</p>	<p>Item tabled</p>	
10.		<p><b>Community College Items of Interest</b> – N/A</p>		
11.		<p><b>Campus Communication:</b> Actions &amp; Notable Items to Report from SGC to Constituency Groups            Members should share with their respective constituency groups that SGC: approved RAP funding priorities and rating rubric for Spring 2026 cycle, as well as an assessment approach for 2024-25 RAP allocations; reviewed updated EEOC proposal to transition to an advisory group, and anticipate a vote at the next meeting (following presentations to Senates); and discussed establishing workgroups to review/consider changes to participatory governance structure (e.g. meeting schedule, tri-chair model, etc.).</p>		
12.		<p><b>Adjournment</b> – SGC adjourned the meeting @ 4:05 p.m.</p>	<p>Meeting adjourned</p>	