

LOS MEDANOS COLLEGE

Shared Governance Council

MINUTES

May 8, 2024

2:00 - 4:00 p.m., In Person (L-109 & BRT-135) and via Zoom

MEMBERS PRESENT: R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, A. Nogarr, P. Ralston, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas

OTHER ATTENDEES: R. Erwin, I. Gregory, R. Pedersen [presenters]; J. Adams [support].

Item #	Topic/Activity	Handouts/ References	Action(s)
STANDING ITEMS:			
1.	Welcome – President Ralston welcomed the SGC members and guests to the meeting.		
2.	Public Comment – N/A		
3.	Review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SGC reviewed the 5/8/24 agenda. President Ralston asked to adjust the order of several items (Accreditation, Task Group, then RAP) to accommodate guest presenters. SGC approved the agenda, as amended. <i>MSC: R. Anicetti/A. Nogarr. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i> Minutes: SGC reviewed and approved the minutes from the April 24th meeting. <i>MSC: M. Lapriore/C. Reyes. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i> 		Agenda approved 4/24/24 minutes approved
4.	Budget Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resource Allocation Process (RAP) – Spring 2024 Cycle <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workgroup Funding Recommendations Rachel Anicetti displayed and reviewed a spreadsheet of the RAP Workgroup’s recommendations. She explained that they looked at the proposals in three phases: 1) to see if proposals qualified for Prop 20 or Instructional Support; 2) to check the proposals’ eligibility for any categorical funding; and 3) to determine recommendations for SGC. Ms. Anicetti then provided an overview of each proposal that qualified for Prop 20 or Instructional Support. The Workgroup is recommending 12 proposals for funding, with a one-time total of \$268,223.63: five for Instructional Support (\$134,151.00); and seven for Prop 20 (\$134,072.63). SGC voted to accept the Workgroup’s funding recommendations. <i>MSC: C. Reyes/C. Montoya. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i> 	RAP Workgroup Recommendations Budget Request Database (BRD) RAP Request Totals by Area Spring 2024 RAP Funding & Priorities	RAP funding recommendations approved
5.	Old Business		
6a.	Participatory Governance Task Group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessment Report President Ralston welcomed the Task Group members – Roseann Erwin, Irma Gregory, Ryan Pedersen, and SGC members Marci Lapriore, Aprill Nogarr, and Irene Sukhu – to present their report to SGC. Their work had included research of participatory governance models at other colleges, meetings with LMC committees and governance bodies, a college-wide survey, and a College Assembly session. They shared attendee feedback from the April 29th College Assembly: elements that people liked – committee cycles with evaluation, examples of committee charge sheets, having councils host College Assemblies, situating budget under a separate council/committee, and the potential to reduce the number of Brown Act committees; and questions/areas for clarification – council size, number of spots for each constituency group, councils’ ability to initiate a committee, and the President’s decision-making authority. The Task Group outlined the proposed governance structure, a draft calendar, the prototype for a committee charge sheet, and new committee guidelines. In addition to recommendations for several specific bodies (including SGC, Academic Senate and its subcommittees, and unaffiliated committees), the Task Group report puts forward five general recommendations: 1) implement compensation model for student participation; 2) develop model for recruiting tenure-track faculty, beginning in year two; 3) dissolve SGC and establish four councils; 4) enact two-year life cycle for each committee; and 5) establish guideline for communication and records-keeping. 	Task Group Assessment Report	Participatory Governance Task Group Recommendations #1, 2 & 5 adopted Recommendations #3 & 4 tabled

	<p>SGC had a lengthy discussion of the Task Group report, including how it might look/work to implement the recommendations. They considered whether reducing the number of committees – while the volume of work remains the same – would just increase the workload for those on committees. It was suggested that it might be possible to use LAEP funds for compensating students serving on committees. Since SGC felt more time was needed to review and digest the recommendations, they asked the Task Group members to remain available as a resource.</p> <p>SGC voted to adopt Task Group recommendations #1, #2, and #5 in Fall 2024. <i>MSC: R. Anicetti/C. Reyes. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – C. Montoya.</i></p> <p>SGC tabled Task Group recommendations #3 and #4. <i>MSC: C. Montoya/M. Lapriore. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></p>		
6.	New Business [no items]		
7.	<p>Accreditation (<i>standing item</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LMC Midterm Report – Second Draft <p>Senior Dean Pedersen displayed the Accreditation website, where the Midterm Report is posted and where we'll begin to build our Institutional Self-Evaluation Report (ISER) and Site Visit web presence. Since this is a “second-read”/action item, he focused primarily on any updates/edits to the version of the Midterm Report shared in the last presentation, such as the section prompts and details of the changes in each section. Next steps in the process include: SGC approval (the Senates approved the Report on Monday); linking evidence within the Report; incorporating final grammatical edits; submitting to the Governing Board for approval in August/September; and, ultimately, submitting to ACCJC in October. SGC unanimously approved the Midterm Report. <i>MSC: C. Reyes/G. Villegas. Yeas – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, M. Lapriore, A. Nogarr, C. Reyes, I. Sukhu, G. Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></p>	<p>LMC Midterm Report Midterm Report Evidence LMC Midterm Report timeline</p>	Midterm Report approved
8.	Curriculum: New Instructional Program Proposals [no items]		
9.	<p>Updates & Announcements/Constituency Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> President Ralston noted that 1,302 graduates will be celebrated at our upcoming Commencement Ceremony. She also took a moment to recognize Aprill Nogarr, who will be shifting into her faculty role in the Fall, and thanked her for her contributions. Academic Senate – Marci Lapriore shared that the English department’s statement was discussed at the Academic Senate meeting. The Senate is aware of funding/equipment limitations for going fully Hy-Flex. As a result, the Senate wasn’t sure whether to vote on/approve the English statement or develop its own resolution. Lastly, the Academic Senate discussed Distance Education is analyzing feedback from the student survey regarding modality preferences. Classified Senate – no report LMCAS – no rep present Curriculum – no rep present Management Team – no report Other – N/A 		
10.	Community College Items of Interest: Legislation, Research & Best Practices – N/A		
11.	<p>Campus Communication: Actions & Notable Items to Report from SGC</p> <p>Members should share with their respective constituency groups that SGC: received the Participatory Governance Task Group’s “Assessment Report” and voted to adopt recommendations #1, 2, and 5; approved the RAP funding recommendations; and approved LMC’s Midterm Report.</p>		
12.	<p>Adjournment</p> <p>SGC adjourned the meeting at 4:01 p.m. by consensus.</p>		Meeting adjourned