

# LOS MEDANOS COLLEGE

## Shared Governance Council

### DRAFT MINUTES

September 24, 2025

2:00 – 4:00 p.m., In Person (L-109 & BRT-135) and via Zoom

MEMBERS PRESENT: R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Giambattista, C. Goff, R. Fernandez, C. Montoya, P. Ralston, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, D. Valencia, G. Villegas.

OTHER ATTENDEES: R. Pedersen (Zoom) [presenter]; J. Adams [support].

Item #	Topic/Activity	Handouts/ References	Action(s)
<b>STANDING ITEMS:</b>			
1.	<b>Welcome</b> – President Ralston welcomed everyone to the meeting.		
2.	<b>Public Comment</b> – N/A		
3.	<b>Review</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agenda: SGC approved the 9/24 agenda. <i>MSC: R. Anicetti/C. Craig-Huddleston. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Giambattista, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></li> <li>Minutes: SGC approved the 9/10 agenda <i>MSC: G. Villegas/L. Giambattista. Yeas – R. Anicetti, C. Craig-Huddleston, L. Giambattista, E. Sanchez, I. Sukhu, M. Trujillo, G. Villegas. Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.</i></li> </ul>		Agenda approved  9/10/25 minutes approved
4.	<b>New Business</b>		
4a.	<b>Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) Update</b> The PDAC Co-Chairs, Erlinda Jones and Justin Nogarr, asked to have SGC review their Charge Sheet and membership. It was noted that the Charge Sheet didn't include Brown Act information, as the updated version wasn't available at the time PDAC completed the form; President Ralston will provide PDAC and the other committees with the approved Charge Sheet. During their review and dialogue, SGC expressed interest in learning more about several items, including: PDAC's relationship to LPG; and the designation of a membership slot for the PIP Coordinator(s). Ms. Jones and Mr. Nogarr will be invited to attend an upcoming SGC meeting to address questions and provide clarification.	<a href="#">Charge Sheet</a> <a href="#">Membership</a>	
5.	<b>Old Business</b>		
5a.	<b>Planning &amp; Institutional Effectiveness</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>LMC Draft Mission, Vision &amp; Values – Review Feedback</b>            President Ralston invited the Senate reps to share the feedback they collected from their respective constituents. Irene Sukhu displayed and reviewed the collective feedback that she compiled during the Classified Senate meeting, as well as feedback that individuals sent to her offline (which she had invited colleagues to do); she also outlined the process that she uses (e.g. agendize, include hyperlink to materials and/or make available in advance, provide post-meeting opportunities to contribute input via email and/or shared document, etc.). Rion Fernandez reported that LMCAS collected feedback during their recent meeting, and he will follow up with Salvador Velazquez to send it to President Ralston. Louie Giambattista explained that the Academic Senate didn't collect faculty feedback, as they must have missed the request to agendize this item. SGC then discussed: the timeline for Mission/Vision/Values review and ESP development; considered potential impacts of any delays and options for next steps; and emphasized the importance of including feedback from all constituency groups in this very important institutional effort. Louie Giambattista agreed to agendize the Mission/Vision/Values drafts for the 10/6 Academic Senate meeting and collect feedback, which wouldn't necessitate a second-read and taking action. Then, once SGC has reviewed all of the constituency group feedback and incorporated any revisions, the "final draft" versions would go to the Senates as action items. This will hopefully provide "final drafts" to share at the ESP Community Forums scheduled for October 14 &amp; 15. SGC reached consensus that: to avoid any duplication of effort, they will hold off on doing any wordsmithing until they've received all of the Senate feedback; the Academic Senate will seek feedback (not action) on the original drafts at their 10/6 meeting; and that, for the final Mission/Vision/Values, it will be important to have a clear distinction between the Mission (a clear/straightforward statement of who we are and what we do NOW) and the Vision (a forward-looking statement, in future tense, that reflects what we strive for [the best potential version of our institution]).         </li> </ul>	<a href="#">Mission, Vision &amp; Values Draft Options</a>	

