LMC Comprehensive Program Review

Instructional Units

2017-2018

Program/Discipline: __Fire Technology, Fire Academy_____

The following provides an outline of the required elements for a comprehensive unit/program review for Instructional Programs and Units. Upon completion of this report, please upload your document in the unit/program review application data/documents tab.

1. Program Changes

1.1. How have your degree and certificate offerings changed over the last 5 years? (e.g. new programs, discontinued or major changes to existing programs)

Fire 107, Firefighter safety has been added as required course to obtain a certificate or a degree. EMS 10 has been upgraded from a 6 unit course to a 8 unit course

1.2. What changes are you planning to your degree and certificate offering over the next 5 years? What is the rationale for the anticipated changes? Will these changes require any additional resources? Our entire Fire technology program as well as our Fire Academy are being restructured to adhere to the new compressed 16-week semester. It does not appear that this change will have any adverse changes on the number of certificate and degrees awarded. It is anticipated that this change may have an impact on the fire academy.

2. Degree and Certificate Requirements

Please review the data provided on all degree/certificate completions in your program, including locally approved College Skills Certificates from Fall 2012—Spring 2017.

- **2.1.** For each degree/certificate offered, map a pathway to completion of courses within the major in a maximum of 4 semesters, assuming a maximum of 6-10 units of major courses within a semester. Use the following format:
- 2.2. Fire certificate students as well as those attempting to complete a degree in Fire technology are provided a guided, pathway listing in Fire 101. Both programs require each student to complete 26 program units in addition to all basic education required units
 Fire academy students are required to complete all pre-requisites prior to entering the fire academy. The courses are as follows:

2.3.

	Name of Degree or Certificate					
Semester	Semester 1	Semester 2	Semester 3	Semester 4		
List Courses	Fire 101	Fire 103	EMS 10	Fire 120		
Needed for	Fire Service	Fire Equipment	Emergency	Fire Academy		
Degree or	Organization	and Systems	Medical	Successful completion		
Certificate in	Fire 102	Fire 104	Technician	earns 8 California Sate Fire		
each semester.	Fire Behavior	Building	Fire 106	Marshall certificates and		
	Fire 107	Construction	Physical Fitness	may lead toward State		
	Firefighter	Fire 105	for Firefighters	Certified Fire Fighter 1		
	Safety	Fire Prevention	Eligible to take	Certificate		
	Certificate in	Certificate in	the NREMT skills			
	Fire Protection	Fire Prevention	test toward EMT			
			certificate			

3. Frequency of Course Offerings

Please review the data provided on frequency of all courses offered in your discipline in the last 2 years (Fall 2015-Spring 2017).

- 3.1. If a course has not been offered in the past two years, but is required for a degree or certificate, please explain why it has not been offered, and what the plan is to offer it in the future.

 Not applicable
- **3.2.** If the course is not required for a degree or certificate, is the course still needed in the curriculum or is the department considering deleting it?

Fire 106 is not part OF THE DEGREE OR CERTIFICATION PROCESS BUT IT IS An ALTERNATE PRE-REQUISITE FOR Fire 120 (Fire Academy) 3.3. For the next two years, project how frequently your program intends to offer each course. Please provide a rationale for any major changes from the last 2 years that you anticipate.

Course				Estimated N	umber of Section	ons Offered by
	Summer 2018	Fall 2018	Spring 2019	Summer 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020
Fire 101	х	XXX)	XXX	х	XXX	XXX
Fire 102	x	XX	XX	X	XX	XX
Fire 103		X	X		X	X
Fire 104		X	X		X	X
Fire 105		Х	X		Х	Х
Fire 106	Х		Х	Х		Х
Fire 107		Х	Х		Х	Х
Ems 10	Х	Х	Х	Х	XX	XX
Fire 120		X	X		X	X
	X= 1 SECTION	I PER		e no major chan able future.	ges at this time	or in the

4. Existing Curriculum Analysis

4.1. Course Outline Updates

Please review the data provided on the status of COORs in your discipline. (Note: This data does not reflect courses submitted after May 2017.) For each COOR that has *not* been updated since Spring 2012, please indicate the faculty member responsible for submitting the updated COOR to the Curriculum Committee by April 18, 2018.

Course	Faculty Responsible for COOR Update
Fire 101	Mike Grillo
Fire 102	German Sierra
Fire 103	John kelleher
Fire 104	John kelleher
Fire 105	Joe Robinson
Fire 106	Saleem Mohamad
Fire 107	Rick Hurtado
Fire 120	Rick Hurtado

4.2. Course Offerings/Content

How have your courses changed over the past 5 years (new courses, significant changes to existing courses)?	There have been no significant changes to courses over the past five years.
Fire Academy has added 40 additional hours to the curriculum	Not applicable, see above.

5. New Curriculum Analysis

5.1. If you are creating new degrees or certificates in the next 5 years: (Indicate N/A if no new degrees or certificates are planned.)

What new degree or certificate programs will be added = FIRE OFFICER CERTIFICATION	We will be adding 6 additional Fire officer certification classes as required by the California State Fire marshal's office
What significant changes to existing course content would need to be made to support the new degree or certificate?	None to existing courses

6. Advisory Board Update (For all CTE TOP coded programs)

Give an overview of the current purpose, structure, and effectiveness of your Advisory Board. Include: membership, dates of last meetings over the past two years.

Purpose	Structure	List of Members	Meeting Dates	Effectiveness
			(2015-2017)	
To receive input from our Local, County and State fire service agencies as well as our community partners, local industry, educational partners, and our students and staff. We also inform the Board of current data, updates to the program and in legislature as well as changes in job market, curriculum, equipment, funding and facilities. We seek input from all the above in order to keep our programs updated	 Faculty & Staff Department Dean Department Chair Associate Dean Clinical Partners & Agency Representatives Workforce Development Rep Fire academy Coordinator 2 Fire Students 	Jeff Carmen, Lewis Broussard, Ed Gonzales, Charles Roth, Paul Cutino, Khari Helae, Jeff Burris, Brian Helmick, Lance Maples, Dave Gibson, Rick Hurtado, John Kelleher, Joe Robinson, Dave Winnacker, Felipe Barreto, Adrian Sheppard, Marcus Rayon, Bryan Craig, Paige	December 5, 2015 March 3, 2016 March 2, 2017 March 7, 2018	Due to our Advisory Board we can inform faculty and students of changes in the job market including opportunities for internships. Our local fire agencies are also able to inform of us of their policy changes and updates to their requirements. Our Contra Costa County training Officers association partners bring us up-to-date on opportunities for county training and hiring opportunities We also receive important

Meyer, Lon	qualitative data from all
Phares, Vince	members. All of this
Wells, Mark	information helps inform all
Ayers, Scott	parties of necessary changes
Joseph, Chevron,	to current policies,
Dow Chemical,	requirements, protocols,
General	curriculum.
Chemical,PGE,	
Shell Oil, Tesoro,	
Conoco, Los	
Medanos College	
Administration	

7. Assessment Effectiveness:

7.1. Course Level Assessment

Please review the data provided on assessment status of courses in your discipline in Cycle 1 (2012-2017).

7.1.1. If there were any courses that were not assessed in Cycle 1, please explain why they were not assessed.

Fire 106 was not as the Instructor is not a Fire Instructor. As the new Department Chair I will work with adjunct faculty to begin working on assessing these Fire 106.

- 7.1.2. If a course was not assessed in Cycle 1 because it was not offered, what is the future of that course?
 - a. Delete the course
 - b. Market/promote the course to gain enrollments
 - c. Other

The course(s) were offered and will be assessed in the upcoming year.

7.1.3. Course level assessment should be meaningful, measurable and manageable. Overall, reflecting on the course level assessment, please rate the degree to which you feel your assessments meet these 3M's.

Meaningful:			
1	(<mark>2</mark>)	3
The assessment was not	The intent was under	rstood, but	Changes were made to the course
meaningful in collecting data	the outcome fell shor	rt of meeting	content or delivery to improve
or information that	the objective of cours	se	course effectiveness.
supported course	assessment, which is	to improve	
improvement or pedagogical	student learning.		
changes.			

Measurable:			
1	(<mark>2</mark>		3
The data collected did not inform teaching and learning.	The assessment promeasurable inform created more quest answers.	ation, but	Results were straightforward and easy to interpret. The course of action to improve the course or its delivery was clear from the data that was collected.

Manageable:

1	2	3
Assessment was not manageable.	The assessment process was somewhat manageable, but posed challenges to implement across the program.	The assessment was easily scaled across the department so that full- and part-time faculty could participate with meaningful outcomes.

7.1.4. What changes in the assessment process itself would result in more meaningful data to improve student learning?

Changes that will be implemented identifying clear responsibilities and appropriate training if needed.

7.1.5. Share an outcome where assessment had a positive impact on student learning and program effectiveness.

N/A

7.2. Program Level Assessment

7.2.1. In 2016-2017, units engaged in program level assessment. Please submit all Program Level
Assessment Reports using the link provided. Describe one important thing you learned from your program level assessment.

We are working on developing surveys and various data collection instruments in an effort to obtain employment and hiring data.

- 7.2.2. What was the biggest challenge in conducting program level assessment?

 Having the administrative time and faculty available to conduct the program level assessment was a huge hurdle to overcome.
- 7.2.3. What resource needs, if any, were identified in your program level assessment?

 To obtain operating fund which allows us to access additional data such as, comparing our students' success to those at other institutions.

8. Course Success/Retention Analysis

Please review the data provided on course retention and success, which has been disaggregated by as many elements as district can provide in their SQL Report

One of our college goals as stated in our Integrated Plan is to "Increase successful course completion, and term to term persistence." Our Equity Plan identifies African- American and low income students as disproportionally impacted in terms of successful course completion. (Foster youth are also disproportionately impacted on this indicator, but numbers are too small to disaggregate by discipline/program) Please indicate how well students in these groups are succeeding in your discipline.

	African- American	Low Income Students	All students in program/discipline
Completion Rate (program/discipline)	87.5% (FA16)	88.4%(FA16)	88.3% (FA16)
	100% (SP17)	95.4%(SP17)	94.8%(SP17)
Success Rate	62.5%(FA16)	73.4%(FA16)	77.2%(FA16)
(program/discipline)	84.4% (SP17)	82.9% (SP17)	85.3%(SP17)

- 8.1. In looking at disaggregated data on success/retention, is there anything else that stands out?
 - It is noted that the course success rates for African-American and Low-Income students in our program increased from 2016FA to 2017SP. We would like to begin dialog to move towards implementing strategies that may increase the course success rates for all students in our program.
- 8.2. What are some strategies that might help students, particularly African-American, foster youth, and low income students successfully complete courses in your discipline? What resources would be needed to implement these strategies?
 - Utilizing Starfish for early alerts, support different learning styles by designing individualized student success plans based on their neurodiversity. In addition, refer students to various areas of support such as DSP&S, JFK, therapeutic support services, Financial Aid, Scholarship opportunities and EOP&S. Develop a mentor program that would acquire and utilize mentors of color

Goals

8.3. Review your program's goals as listed in response to the final question of your 2012-2013 Comprehensive Program Review posted in the Data Repository of the PRST.

Highlight some of the key goals that were	We have hired a Fulltime Emergency Medical
achieved over the past 5 years. What were the key	technician department Coordinator: We hired a
elements that led to success?	part time Fire Academy Coordinator
Were there any goals that did not go according to	All goals went according as planned.
plan? What were the key elements that impeded	
the progress on these goals?	

8.4. Consider the College's Strategic Directions along with our Integrated Planning Goals listed here:

College Strategic Directions 2014-2019	Integrated Planning Goals
1. Increase equitable student engagement,	1. ACCESS: increase access through enrollment
learning, and success.	of students currently underserved in our community.
2. Strengthen community engagement and	
partnerships.	2. IDENTIFYING PATHWAYS: Increase student
2 December in a contract of a	awareness of the LMC pathways available to
3. Promote innovation, expand organizational capacity, and enhance institutional	them.
effectiveness.	3. COLLEGE-LEVEL TRANSITION: Increase the
	number of students successfully transitioning
4. Invest in technology, fortify infrastructure, and enhance fiscal resources.	into the fire academy.
	4. PERSISTENCE & COMPLETION: Increase
	successful course completions, and term to term persistence.
	5. EQUITABLE SUCCESS: Improve the number of
	LMC students who earn associates degrees,
	certificates of achievement or obtain career employment.
	6. Instructor Development: Increase instructor development

List 3-5 longer term (5 year) new goals for your program. For each goal, pick 1-2 College Strategic Directions and/or 1-2 Integrated Planning Goals to which your new goal aligns.

Goals	Aligned College Strategic Direction(s)	Aligned Integrated Planning Goal(s)
Goal 1: Increase training and	#3	#6
professional development for new		
and continuing nursing faculty.		
Goal 2: Increase number of hybrid	#1	#4
and/or content captured courses		
Goal 3: Build and rebuild	#2	#2
community outreach in the form of		
better communications between		
advisory board partners		
Goal 4:		
Goal 5:		

OPTIONAL

9.3 Resource needs to meet five-year goals

Faculty/Staff Resource Request						
Department/Unit Goal - Refe	rence #	Strategic Objective - Reference	ce#			
Department/Unit Name		Position Name/Classification	FTE			
Position Type ✓ Faculty R/T Classified Manager Student	Funding Duration On-going/Permanent One-time	Funding Source ☐ Operations (Fund 11) ☑ Other Instructor developme	Est. Salary & Benefits			
Justification:						

Operating Resource Request					
Department/Unit Goal - Reference #	Strategic Objective - Refe	rence #			
#2	#3				
Department/Unit Name	Resource Type				
	☐ Equipment	▼ IT Hardware/Software			
Fire Technology	Supplies	☐ Facility Improvement			
	Service/Contract	Other			
General Description		Est. Expense			
Not at this time					
Justification:					
Professional Developr	nent Resource Rea	uest			
Department/Unit Goal - Reference #	Strategic Objective - Refe	rence #			
#1 Department/Unit Name	#3 Resource Type				
Department/ offic Name	Conference/Meeting	■ Materials/Supplies			
Fire	Online Learning	☐ IT Hardware/Software			
	Other				
General Description		Est. Expense			
State and County fire Training officers quarterly and					
Yearly California State Fire Training Officers Conven					

Justification:

Needed faculty development

Instructional Comprehensive Program Review					