EDUCATIONAL MASTER PLAN 2020-2025 PUBLIC FORUM

Educational Master Plan 2020-2025 Timeline

- EMP Core Group Established: October 2019
- □ Analysis of Environmental Scan: November 2019
- □ Analysis of Internal Profiles: December 2019
- SOAR Survey to campus: December-January 30, 2020
- EMP Public Forums:
 - LMC Employees Forum–Monday, February 10, 2020
 - LMC External Community Forum—Friday, February 21, 2020
 - LMC Students Forum–Monday, February 24, 2020
- □ EMP Core Group retreat: Friday March 6, 2020
- Draft Goals & Objectives-College Input: Monday, May 4, 2020
- □ EMP Final Draft-College Review: August 2020
- EMP Final Draft-Shared Governance Review: September 2020
- □ College President approval: October 2020
- Governing Board: November 2020

EMP Core Group

Members

- Chialin Hsieh, Committee Chair, Senior Dean of Planning & Institutional Effectiveness
- BethAnn Stone, Classified Senate Rep, Administrative Support, Senior Administrative Assistant, Office of Planning & Institutional Effectiveness, Classified Senate Vice President
- Bob Kratochvil, Management Rep, College President
- Tanisha Maxwell, Management Rep, Vice President of Student Services
- Nancy Ybarra, Management Rep, Vice President of Instruction (Interim)
- Carols Montoya, Management Rep, Vice President of Business and Administrative Services
- Josh Bearden, Academic Senate Rep, Faculty & Chair, Social Sciences, Academic Senate President
- Scott Hubbard, Academic Senate Rep, Faculty, Mathematics Department/ TLC Chair
- Marie Arcidiacano Kaufman, Academic Senate Rep, Faculty & Dept. Chair, Communication Studies & Speech/Academic Senate Vice President
- Scott Warfe, Academic Senate Rep, Faculty, English, Faculty Research Coordinator, Accreditation Technical Writer
- Louie Giambattista, Academic Senate Rep, Faculty & Curriculum Committee Chair
- Tammy Oranje, Classified Senate Rep, Financial Aid Scholarship Program Specialist
- Chris Long, Classified Senate Rep, Program Coordinator
- Paul West, Classified Senate Rep, Science Lab Coordinator
- Catherine Fonseca, Classified Senate Rep, Program Coordinator
- Shagoofa Khan, LMCAS Senate Rep, Student
- Christian Ortiz, LMCAS Senate Rep, Student
- Rochelle Arnold, LMCAS Senate Rep, Student

Data Sets to

Inform EMP

Set 1: State and County demographic conditions and projections Set 2: Four City Demographic Snapshot Set 3: County Labor Market and Workforce, including LMC program gap analysis Set 4: K12 and High School data and projections Set 5: Student Demographics & Characteristics 2014 to 2018 Set 6: Degree/Certificates by Program 2016-2017 to 2018-2019. Excluding COC Set 7: Enrollment and Productivity by Program Fall 2015 through Fall 2019 Set 8: Student Services Programs Set 9: SOAR Survey Analysis Set 10: Public Forums Analysis Set 11: Employee Engagement Survey Report 2019 Set 12: Strategic Plan 2014-2019 Evaluation Report 2019 Set 13: Educational Master Plan 2006-2016 Evaluation Report 2018

Set 14: Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation Report 2018

Set 15: <u>SENSE 2018</u>

Set 16: <u>CCSSE 2019</u>

Focus for Today

- Set 1: <u>State and County demographic conditions and projections</u>
- Set 2: Four City Demographic Snapshot
- Set 3: <u>County Labor Market and Workforce, including LMC program gap analysis</u>
- Set 4: <u>K12 and High School data and projections</u>
- Set 5: <u>Student Demographics & Characteristics 2014</u> to 2018
- Set 6: <u>Degree/Certificates by Program 2016-2017 to</u> <u>2018-2019</u>. <u>Excluding COC</u>
- Set 7: Enrollment and Productivity by Program Fall 2015 through Fall 2019
- □ Set 8: Student Services Programs
- Set 9: <u>SOAR Survey Analysis</u>

Set 1: Population Data and Projection Profile Summary

- County's pop. = 1,161,000
- □ Projected growth next 5 years= 4% (@45,000)
- Greatest one-year % increase in population Brentwood
- Median Income significant increase in East Co. (up 73% 2010-2017)
- Next Ten Years Substantial Increases
 - ✓ Ages 25-39
 - ✓ Multi-racial (Non-Hispanic), Black (Non-Hispanic), and Asian (Non-Hispanic) populations

Set 2: Snapshot Data—

Four Largest Cities in LMC Service Area (2017)

- Population Growth (2010-2017)
 - ✓ Least -Antioch
 - ✓ Greatest -Brentwood
- Median Age
 - ✓ *"mid to upper 30's" range*
- Foreign Born
 - \checkmark Antioch & Pittsburg higher % than Co.
- Poverty
 - \checkmark Antioch and Pittsburg rates <u>above</u> that of the Co.
 - ✓ Brentwood and Oakley rates <u>below</u> that of the Co.
 - ✓ Preponderance Females, ages 34-45
- Household Technology
 - Vast majority access to computing technology and broadband.
- Educational Attainment
 - ✓ % HS Grads: Pittsburg greatest; Antioch lowest
 - ✓ % AA Degrees: Brentwood- highest; Pittsburg lowest
 - ✓ % BA/BS Degrees: Brentwood-Highest; Oakley lowest
 - ✓ Least likely to have some college or BA/BS -Hispanic, Native American, Native Hawaiian

SET 3: County Economic And Labor Market Data, Projections, and LMC Program Gaps

Next Five Years

- ✓ Job Growth projected 24,968 jobs (5.8%)
- \checkmark Top 5 growing industries:
 - healthcare/social assistance (11k jobs);
 - construction (5.9k jobs)
 - accommodation/food services (5.7k jobs)
 - administrative/support, & waste management/remediation services (4.8k jobs)
 - transportation and warehousing (2.7k jobs)

Living Wages Ranges

- \$17.47/hour (one adult/no children) to \$52.94/hour (one adult/three children)
- ✓ \$13.12 to \$27.00 per hour (2 working adults/0-3 children)
- LMC Program & Living Wage Correlations
 - Many programs correlated to jobs in growing occupational fields with living wages.
 - No programs correlated to jobs in 11 fastest growing occupations, or for entry into several of the top growing industries. (opportunities).

Set 4: K12 and High School Enrollment Projections and Completion Data

□ K12 Enrollments

- ✓ CA continue declining predicted.
- ✓ Costa County will see an <u>increase</u>.
- HS Enrollments County will see a notable increase through the 2027-2028 academic year.

Drop-Outs:

- Previous two academic years, County schools have seen a lower % of dropouts compared with the state.
- ✓ African American students and students with unreported ethnicities experience the highest percentages of high school drop-outs in the County.

Set 5: Student Demographic and Characteristic Trends

2014 to 2018

Headcount

- ✓ LMC +9%
 - Pittsburg +12.3%
 - Brentwood +4.2%
- Age
 - ✓ <20 most significant increase: +19.5%
 - ✓ 20-24 most significant decrease: -13.3%
- **Ethnicity**
 - ✓ Significant Increase: Asian and Hispanic Students
 - ✓ Significant Decrease: White and African American Students
- Gender: Female + 3.8% Male --4.3%
- Goals:
 - ✓ "Undecided" + 9.1%; Transfer +8.2%
 - ✓ Career Development -18.8%
- □ Success-Completion-Enrollments (By Method) Notable Trends
 - ✓ Course Success: Hybrid (1-50%) \rightarrow + 9.2%
 - ✓ # Course Enrollments: Hybrid (51-99%) → + 166%; Online (100%) → +113%
 - ✓ Awards By Ethnicity: Hispanic +14.8%; + Asian 9.6%

Set 6: Degrees and Certificates by

Program

(2016-17 to 2018-19)

- **123** Total Degree and Certificate Programs
- □ # of Awards increased from 2506 to 2654 (5.9%)
- □ "Top 5" 3-Year Total Awards:
 - ✓ CSU General Education (CSU-GE Breadth) COA
 - ✓ Liberal Arts: Behavioral Science & Social Science AA
 - ✓ Liberal Arts: Math & Science AA
 - Liberal Arts: Arts & Humanities AA
 - Intersegmental General Education Transfer Curric- COA
- □ "Top 5" Greatest Increases in # of Awards
 - ✓ CSU General Education (CSU-GE Breadth) COA
 - ✓ Liberal Arts: Behavioral Science & Social Science AA
 - ✓ Liberal Arts: Math & Science AA
 - ✓ Biology for Transfer AST
 - ✓ Mathematics for Transfer AST
- "Top 5" 3-Year Avg Certificate Awards
 - ✓ CSU General Education (CSU-GE Breadth) COA
 - ✓ Emergency Medical Technician I COC
 - ✓ Basic Law Enforcement Academy Intensive COA
 - ✓ Assistant Teacher Permit COC
 - ✓ Associate Teacher Permit COC

Set 7: Approach to Analysis of Enrollment and Productivity by Program

(F2015 through F2019)

- Purpose:
 - \checkmark identify program trends and possible opportunity gaps
 - ✓ Inform EMP goals and objectives
- Approach
 - Census Enrollment: 5 YR Program Avg. Compared to 5 YR Avg. for All College Programs
 - Sections: 5 YR Program Avg. Compared to 5 YR Avg. for All College Programs
 - ✓ Fill Rates: 5 YR Program Avg. Compared to 5 YR Avg. for All College Programs
 - ✓ FTES: 5 Year Program Avg. Compared to 5 Year Avg. for All College Programs
 - ✓ FTES/FTEF (program efficiency): Program 5 YR Avg. Compared to Past Practice of "16"
 - ✓ WSCH/FTEF (instructional efficiency) [WSCH=Weekly Student Contact Hours]: Program 5 YR AVG Compared to Past Practice of "595"
 - ✓ WSCH Growth: Program Trend Compared to College Trend (F2015 to F2019); categorized as "faster," "slower," or "same" rate as College.
 - ✓ Course Success Rate: Program 5 YR Avg. Compared to 5 YR Avg. for All College Programs College

Set 8: Student Services Programs



Data Challenges

- > Number of type of Student Services
- Capturing accurate "counts"
- Methods for capturing data (no standardized or consistent method)
- Best method to project Student Services programs' growth?
- ➢ What data is essential to EMP?
- Student Services data and methods projections currently under careful consideration.

Set 9: SOAR Survey Analysis and Themes

- Analysis Holistic approach reviewing and categorizing highest frequency responses and correlating written comments to frame emerging themes:
- Clear, aligned pathways from entry through completion and transition;
- ✓ Flexibility in type and delivery of programs and services;
- ✓ Career and Technical programs and new opportunities;
- ✓ Programs to eliminate achievement gaps;
- ✓ Culture of collaboration;
- ✓ Partnerships to address barriers to access, success, persistence, and completion; and,
- ✓ Outreach to reach broad sector of community residents.

Additional Sources of Information for Analysis and Integration

- Employee Engagement Survey Themes
- Strategic Plan 2014-2019 Evaluation Report
 2019
- EMP 2006-2016 Evaluation Report 2018
- Comprehensive Program Review 2017-2018
 Evaluation Report 2018
- SENSE 2018 (Survey of Entering Student Engagement)
- CCSSE 2019 (Community College Survey of Student Engagement)
- □ From Local Governments and Agencies:
 - ✓ Strategic Plans
 - ✓ Regional Economic Reports
 - ✓ Economic Outlooks
 - ✓ Economic Development Plans

Educational Master Plan

Guiding Questions

- 1. Who are the students we serve <u>now</u> and who will our <u>future</u> students be?
- 2. What are the needs of our current students and what will students' needs be in the future?
- 3. Do our current delivery systems serve student needs?
- 4. How can we best serve the educational needs of the population in our service area?
- 5. What technologies, facilities, and practices will best ensure equitable outcomes for our students?

Group

Discussion

Activity

□ 30 minutes

- □ Five Question Stations
- Visit 3 Questions Stations 10 minutes at each station
- Using the data we reviewed, write your responses to the EMP Questions on the posters at your 3 chosen stations.
- □ After 30 minutes, group share out.