# Summarizing the CPRs from Cycle 1 (2012-2017) Regarding Assessment

### Takeaways:

- Culture of assessment is quite strong
- Overwhelming majority of courses were assessed; nearly all of those that weren't was because the courses were not being offered.
- Many courses and programs have been changed due to the things learned during the assessment process.

#### **Obstacles:**

- Much help is requested and needed for technical help. The PRST is not helpful. The new enterprise tool should help, but training and clerical/administrative help is much needed.
- Smaller departments, or those with adjuncts teaching the course, request more help. Specifically, more funding for the PT faculty to aid in the assessment process.
- Only a select few departments are not bought in with assessment, or don't value it or do it on time.
- Help is needed with finding data, interpreting it, reporting it, and making decisions based on it.

#### PD to Offer:

- Design of rubrics and assessment instruments, especially with regards to tying into the language of the P/CSLOs.
- Design (wording, amount, what to be demonstrated, not just content) of P/CSLOs is desired.
- How to share the results and use it to create dialog, reflection, and actions to close the loop.
- How to design and collect surveys.
- Backwards design

## Things to Change:

- Significantly more funding for adjuncts
- More formalized process for reflection, dialog, and closing the loop. Perhaps a Monday meeting?
- More help to department chairs regarding assessment process, best practices, and tips. Perhaps a regular update or time on the department chair meeting agenda?
- Move away from the PRST and towards an enterprise tool.
- Have a dedicated administration staff member to help with assessment.

#### 3 Ms – Was assessment meaningful, measurable, and manageable? (On a Scale of 1 - 3: 1 = Not at all, 2 = Somewhat, 3 = Very Much)

	Score (Avg from 41 Units)
Meaningful	2.55
Measurable	2.65
Manageable	2.34

In groups, the committee reviewed random sample PSLO reports and reported out their findings (5.15.2018). Based on the feedback Scott and Brianna will update the PSLO rubric/requirements/instructions and forms.

Qualities of Complete PSLO Report	Qualities of Incomplete PSLO Report	
<ul> <li>Should have a clear research question</li> <li>Follow data specifically related to program majors</li> <li>Focus on the outcomes of students taking six courses related to the major that are mapped to the PSLOs to determine proficiency.</li> <li>Provide good data</li> <li>Provide qualitative and quantitative information</li> <li>Include the students' voice</li> <li>Provide assessments description</li> <li>Easy to read table tools, data, and written report</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Overall PSLO report incomplete - did not address all the requirements</li> <li>List findings with no analysis; no research question</li> <li>Lacks connection - evidence and claim</li> <li>Unclear of wording/redundancy</li> <li>PSLO report mimics CSLO report</li> <li>Does not include data measurements to show their claim.</li> <li>The form is not user-friendly</li> </ul>	
Takeaway - Recommendations		
<ul> <li>Educate the difference between PSLO vs. CSLO assessments and program review</li> <li>Develop an action plan - closing the loop of the PSLO report findings and what was implemented in the next steps from previous reports</li> <li>Develop standards how to measure PSLOs</li> <li>Provide training via department meetings or focus flex</li> <li>Update the form that can be used for the new software, coming soon</li> </ul>		