SHARED GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE CHARGE SHEET	
Established	March 21, 2003
Committee Charges	 The Shared Governance Council is a collective review forum where representative leaders validate the support and integrity of recommendations: Develop, review, and maintain College policies; and Review and provide input on District policy. The Council assists with the synthesis of deliberation and planning across operational units of the College to whatever degree required, including. The Council will: Review and offer input on new and revised initiatives and projects; Support and guide institutional, educational, and facilities planning; and Keep in mind that operational units and the management team remain the primary implementers of College policy and agreed upon unit initiatives. The Council facilitates bi-directional communication among the various constituencies, particularly between and among the Senates, regarding policy matters of importance to the entire College community. The Council will: Promulgate Disseminate recommendations of college-wide importance; Provide reliable and accessible written communications; Support institutional coherence and employee morale; and Develop the "Monday Meeting Calendar," which includes multiple College Assemblies for program/institutional/district information and updates and create opportunities for broad discussion and input. The Council manages the Resource Allocation Process, providing crossconstituent input on resource allocation using program review and institutional planning as the basis for allocation. The Council assists the President in assessing unforeseen or developing opportunities for and threats to the College and developing intervention strategies. The Council coordinates sub-committees and ad ho
Meeting Schedule	2 nd & 4 th Wednesday of each month, 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Brown Act Requirement	SGC follows Brown Act requirements despite not being a legislatively determined body
Quorum	50% +1 of filled membership seats
Primary Accreditation Standards Supported	Standard 1: Institutional Mission and Effectiveness: The institution has a clearly defined mission that reflects its character, values, organizational structure, and unique student population. The mission outlines the institution's explicit commitment to achievement for all students and serves as a guiding principle for institutional planning, action, evaluation, improvement, and innovation. 1.3. The institution holds itself accountable for achieving its mission and goals and regularly reviews relevant, meaningfully disaggregated data to evaluate its progress and inform plans for continued improvement and innovation. (ER 3, ER 11) 1.4. The institution's mission directs resource allocation, innovation, and continuous quality improvement through ongoing systematic planning and evaluation of programs and services (ER 19) 1.5. The institution regularly communicates progress toward achieving its mission and goals with internal and external stakeholders in order to promote understanding of institutional strengths, priorities, and areas for continued improvement. (ER 19)
	Standard 4: Governance and Decision-Making: The institution engages in clear and effective governance practices that support the achievement of its mission. Governance roles and

provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively.	Evaluation Last Updated	To be conducted in April 2026 (proposed) August 27, 2025 (under review)
4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-makin provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair(s) 6	Website	https://www.losmedanos.edu/sg/
4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-makin provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair(s) Group Recorder Chair: College President (non-voting) Chair: College President (non-voting) Senior Executive Assistant to the President (non-voting) 3 Classified Professionals (voting members, appointed by the Classified Senate) 3 Ananagers (voting members, appointed by the College President) 3 Students (voting members, appointed by the College President) Committee Facilitation Process Reporting Relationship Makes recommendations to the College President SGC uses Robert's Rules of Order Reporting Subcommittees: Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Institutional Development for Equity and Access (IDEA) Planning Committee Professional Development (PDAC) Sustainability Committee		Enrollment Strategy & Planning Committee (ESPC) Safety Committee
4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-makin provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair(s) 6 Chair: College President (non-voting) • Senior Executive Assistant to the President (non-voting) 1 3 Classified Professionals (voting members, appointed by the Classified Senate) 1 3 Managers (voting members, appointed by the College President) 1 3 Students (voting members, appointed by the College President) 2 3 Students (voting members, appointed by LMCAS) 3 Curriculum Committee Chair (non-voting) Makes recommendations to the College President Committee Facilitation SGC uses Robert's Rules of Order	Potential Subcommittees	Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Institutional Development for Equity and Access (IDEA) Planning Committee Professional Development (PDAC) Sustainability Committee
4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-making provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair(s) Group Recorder Chair: College President (non-voting) • Chair: College President (non-voting) • 3 Classified Professionals (voting members, appointed by the Classified Senate) • 3 Faculty (voting members, appointed by the Academic Senate) • 3 Managers (voting members, appointed by the College President) • 3 Students (voting members, appointed by LMCAS) • Curriculum Committee Chair (non-voting)		SGC uses Robert's Rules of Order
4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-makin provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair(s) 6 Chair: College President (non-voting) • Senior Executive Assistant to the President (non-voting) • 3 Classified Professionals (voting members, appointed by the Classified Senate) • 3 Faculty (voting members, appointed by the College President) • 3 Students (voting members, appointed by LMCAS)	Reporting Relationship	Makes recommendations to the College President
 4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-making provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation advances the mission and promotes successful outcomes for all students. Chair: College President (non-voting) 	Committee Members	 3 Faculty (voting members, appointed by the Academic Senate) 3 Managers (voting members, appointed by the College President) 3 Students (voting members, appointed by LMCAS)
 4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-making provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation 		
responsibilities are delineated in widely distributed policies, and institutional decision-making processes provide opportunities for meaningful participation by relevant		making processes provide opportunities for meaningful participation by relevant stakeholders. 4.1. The institution upholds an explicit commitment to principles of academic freedom, academic integrity, and freedom of inquiry. (ER 13) 4.2. Roles, responsibilities, and authority for decision-making are clearly defined and communicated throughout the institution. The institution's structure for decision-making provides opportunities for stakeholder participation and ensures the consideration of relevant perspectives. 4.3. The institution's decision-making structures are used consistently and effectively. Institutional decision-making practices support a climate of collaboration and innovation that