

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

To the Governing Board and the Citizen's Oversight Committee of the Contra Costa Community College District

We have audited the financial statements of the of Contra Costa Community College District 2002, Measure A bond capital outlay fund for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2010. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated April 24, 2008, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express opinions about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our meeting about planning matters in June 2010.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by Contra Costa Community College District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2010. We noted no transactions entered into by the governmental unit during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedule summarizes uncorrected misstatements of the financial statements. Management has determined that their effects are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated December 30, 2010.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Governing Board, Oversight Committee, and management of Contra Costa Community College District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

2

CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2002 BOND

SUMMARY OF UNADJUSTED DIFFERENCES JUNE 30, 2010

| Fund: 2002 Bond | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | inancial | Statem | Financial Statements Effects | | | |
| Description of Audit Difference | Cause | W/P Ref. | Total Assets | | Total Liabilities | Fund Balance | | Net Income/Loss |
| Cash in County | Districts typically do not record the difference between Fair Market Value and Cost for County Cash funds. This is a difference on \$14.8M of County Cash between GAAP and industry practice. | 1 101 | \$ 27, | 27,855 | | \$ 27,855 | \$9 | 27,855 |
| | Total \$ 27,855 Financial Statement Caption Totals 15,416,046 Net Audit Differences as % of F/S Captions 0.18% | Total on Totals Captions | Total \$ 27,855 Fotals 15,416,046 Otions 0.18% | 7,855 6,046 0.18% | 1,095,008 0.00% | \$ 27,855 \$ 1,095,008 14,321,038 0.00% 0.19% | ↔ | 27,855 (5,029,690) -0.55% |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION FINANCIAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2010

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Independent Auditors' Report | 1 |
|---|---|
| Capital Outlay - Bond Fund | |
| Balance Sheet | 2 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance | 3 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 4 |



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the capital outlay - bond fund 2002 election of the Contra Costa Community College District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the capital outlay - bond fund 2002 election and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the capital outlay - bond fund 2002 election of the Contra Costa Community College District at June 30, 2010, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

CAPITAL OUTLAY - BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2010

| ASSETS | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Cash | \$ 14,821,142 |
| Accounts receivable | 198,218 |
| Due from other funds | 12,247 |
| Other assets | 384,439 |
| Total Assets | \$ 15,416,046 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY | |
| LIABILITIES | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 681,244 |
| Due to other funds | 413,764 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,095,008 |
| FUND EQUITY | |
| Fund balances | 14,321,038 |
| Total Fund Equity | 14,321,038 |
| Total Liabilities | |
| and Fund Equity | \$ 15,416,046 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

| REVENUES | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Interest income | \$ | 59,448 |
| Total Revenues | ē. | 59,448 |
| EXPENDITURES | | |
| Current | | |
| Payroll and benefits | | 415,375 |
| Services and operating expenditures | | 76,622 |
| Capital outlay | | 3,973,845 |
| Total Expenditures | | 4,465,842 |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/ | | |
| (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | | (4,406,394) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES) | | (, , , , |
| Operating transfers out | | (623,296) |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | (623,296) |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER | | |
| FINANCING SOURCES OVER/(UNDER) | | |
| EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES | | (5,029,690) |
| FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR | | 19,350,728 |
| FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR | \$ | 14,321,038 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE #1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Contra Costa Community College District Capital Outlay - Bond Fund 2002 Election conforms to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Contra Costa Community College District Capital Outlay - Bond Fund 2002 Election accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California College Budget and Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements were prepared to comply with the requirements of proposition 39 related to the 55% voter approval requirements for bond issues and include only the Capital Outlay - Bond Fund 2002 Election established to account for the expenditures of the general obligation bonds approved in March 5, 2002. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the complete financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Fund Accounting

The District accounts for the Capital Outlay – Bond Fund 2002 Election in a fund, which is considered to be a separate accounting entity from all other funds of the District. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual governmental funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

Fund Balance Reserves and Designations

Reservations of the ending fund balance indicate the portions of fund balance not available for appropriation or amounts legally segregated for a specific future use. Designations of the ending fund balance indicate tentative plans for financial resource utilization in a future period.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE #2 - INVESTMENTS

Investment in County Treasury - The Capital Outlay Bond Fund of the District are considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund and the District manages exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its funds in the County Treasury Pool. The fair value of deposits at the County Treasury at June 30, 2010 was \$14,848,997 and the weighted average maturity of the pool is less than one year.

Credit Risk - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measure by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund only invests in County Pooled Investment Funds which are not required to be rated.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits - This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Capital Outlay Bond Fund or District's deposits may not be returned to it. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund does not have any deposits subject to custodial credit risk.

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE #3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2010, consists of the following:

PGE solar rebates \$ 185,623
Interest \$ 12,595
\$ 198,218

NOTE #4 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund receivables/payables (Due To/Due From)

As of June 30, 2010, the 2002 bond fund has an amount of \$12,247 due from the General fund for interest receivable on country treasury funds. In addition, there is \$413,764 due to the 2006 bond fund for expenses that were reclassified. The 2002 Election transferred \$623,296 to the 2006 Election to separate the construction retentions for the bond funds.

NOTE #5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2010, consists of the following:

| Vendor payables | \$ 296,805 |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Construction retention | 384,439 |
| Total accounts payable | \$ 681,244 |

NOTE #6 – FUND BALANCES

Fund balance is composed of the following elements:

Unreserved Undesignated

\$ 14,321,038

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE #7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2010, the 2002 capital outlay fund had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

| CAPITAL PROJECT | | Cons | naining struction mitment | Expected Date of Completion |
|-----------------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Diablo Valley | - | | | Completion |
| | Planeterium | \$ | 6,076 | Jun-11 |
| | LHS Ceiling replacement | · | 7,535 | Aug-09 |
| | Parking island landscaping | | 4,150 | Jun-11 |
| Los Medanos | | | | |
| | Scence building | | 6,454 | Jun-11 |
| | Core building remodel | | 52,490 | Sep-10 |
| | Art area remodel | | 592,688 | Nov-10 |
| Contra Costa | | | | |
| | Roofing | | 8,813 | Jul-10 |
| | Card access | | 20,487 | Dec-10 |
| | Parking lot 16 | | 6,302 | Aug-10 |
| | Applied arts building | | 957,282 | Nov-10 |
| | Student services center | | 16,600 | To be determined |
| | Music building | | 287,708 | Dec-14 |
| | VA building | | 77,861 | Sep-10 |
| | Parking lot repaying | | 12,869 | Jul-10 |
| | College center design | | 3,400,845 | Jan-14 |
| | Total All Projects | \$ | 5,458,160 | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2002 ELECTION PERFORMANCE REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee And Governing Board Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have performed the agreed-upon procedures listed below, which were agreed to by the management of the Contra Costa Community College District and the Measure A Citizen's Oversight Committee, solely to review at least 25% of the expenditures of the 2002 General Obligation Bond funds for the period of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, for the purpose of verifying if the use of the funds is within the scope of the published materials specifying the intended use of bond funds. We used election documents, and District resolutions, as guidance for the intended use of the funds. For any expenditures in question, we recommended that the District obtain the opinion of legal counsel and we informed this committee as to the issues. Management is responsible for Contra Costa Community College District's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and is intended to meet the compliance requirements as outlined in subparagraph (c) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 1 of Article XIII of the California Constitution. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below for either the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Financial Summary

1. The 2002 general obligation bond funds in the amount of \$120,000,000 were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on March 5, 2002. The first series of the 2002 bonds was issued in July 2002 and in the principal amount of \$50,000,000. The second series of the 2002 bonds was issued in August 2004 and in the principal amount of \$45,000,000. The third series of the 2002 bonds was issued in May 2006 and in the principal amount of 25,000,000.

2. Total expenditures and encumbrances through June 30, 2010, were \$134,067,951 and are summarized as follows:

| | | Prior | 7/1/09 to | |
|---|-------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Expenditures | F | Periods | 6/30/2010 | Total |
| Salary and benefits | \$ | 47,761 | \$ 415,375 | \$ 463,136 |
| Services and operating expenses | | 918,975 | 76,622 | 995,597 |
| Capital outlay | 12 | 2,553,917 | 3,973,845 | 126,527,762 |
| Total expenditures | 12 | 3,520,653 | 4,465,842 | 127,986,495 |
| Transfer to 2006 Bond fund | | - | 623,296 | 623,296 |
| Total expenditures and other uses | \$ 12 | 3,520,653 | \$ 5,089,138 | 128,609,791 |
| Contract commitments, June 30, 2009 | | | | 5,458,160 |
| Total expenditures and commitments | | | | \$ 134,067,951 |
| | | Prior | 7/1/09 to | |
| | F | eriods | 6/30/2010 | Total |
| Net available proceeds for construction | \$ 12 | 0,000,000 | \$ - 1 - | \$ 120,000,000 |
| Transfer in from Measure A+ | 1 | 4,958,817 | - II - | 14,958,817 |
| Local revenue | | 3,600 | <u>-</u> 1 | 3,600 |
| Interest earned | | 7,908,964 | 59,448 | 7,968,412 |
| Total revenues received | \$ 14 | 2,871,381 | \$ 59,448 | 142,930,829 |
| Total expenditures and commitments | | | | (134,067,951) |
| Amount available | | | | \$ 8,862,878 |

Agreed Upon Procedures Performed

- 1. Verify that the expenditure of funds was accounted for separately in the accounting records to allow for accountability.
- 2. Verify that the net funds from the sale of the General Obligation Bonds were deposited in total into the District's accounts by obtaining settlement statement for the new bond issue and verifying amounts deposited into the building and bond interest and redemption funds.
- 3. Select 25% of the expenditures and verify that the funds expended complied with the purpose that was specified to the registered voters of the District through election materials, and district resolutions. See supplemental information for list of expenditures reviewed.
- 4. Verify that the State and District policies were followed in the awarding of bids and expenditure of the funds.

Results of Procedures

- 1. The general obligations bond fund expenditures were accounted for separately in the capital outlay bond fund of the District.
- 2. There were no proceeds from the sale of general obligation bonds during the year.

- 3. Our review of the expenditures for the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, did not reveal any items that were paid from the general obligation bond funds that did not comply with the purpose of the Bonds as approved by the registered voters of the District on March 5, 2002. We did not request that management of the District consult with legal counsel on any expenditure during the current period.
- 4. Our review of the policies over awarding of contracts revealed no exceptions to the policies of the District or the requirements of the State as they relate to awarding of contracts. Our review of the District policies over disbursement of funds revealed no exceptions to the disbursement policies of the District.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Contra Costa Community College District and the Measure A Citizen's Fiscal Oversight Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

BOND FUND, 2002 ELECTION INVOICES SELECTED FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

| Date | Warrant # Pavee | Pavee | Project | Amount |
|---------------|-----------------|--|--|---------------|
| 8/18/09 | V0806869 | V0806869 Martinez Sheet Metal Inc. | LMC Library HVAC Ductwork. | \$ 115,289.46 |
| | | School Specialty - Furniture & | LMC Purchase of instructional furniture & equipment. | |
| 8/17/09 | V0803688 | V0803688 Equipment | | 169,211.56 |
| | | | CCC Roofing Project, Auto Tech Building. | |
| 10/2/09 | V0822539 | V0822539 IMR Contractor Corp. | | 200,880.00 |
| | | | CCC VA Building | |
| 3/18/10 | V0858003 | V0858003 Southland Construction | Modernize Vocational Technology Building. | 122,273.19 |
| | | | CCC - Biological Science Building HVAC Ph II. Energy | |
| 8/2/09 | V0804041 | V0804041 Bell Products, Inc | efficiency project | 114,083.00 |
| 4/14/10 | V0861877 | V0861877 tBP/Architecture | CCC Center - Architectural & Engineering Services. | 196,317.00 |
| Accts Payable | V0879082 | V0879082 Johnstone Moyer, Inc | Applied Arts Building Renovation | 96,067.00 |
| Accts Payable | V0876949 | V0876949 Integra Construction Services | Life and Health Science Building retention | 11,067.03 |
| | - | = | DVC - Project Parking Islands Landscape. | |
| 7/29/09 | V0806865 | V0806865 Green Valley Landscape | Repair, install and replace pathways and roadways. | 251,593.65 |
| | | | | |
| | | | Total tested | \$ 1,276,782 |
| | | | Total expenditures | \$ 5,089,138 |
| | | | Percent tested | 25.1% |



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

To the Governing Board and the Citizen's Oversight Committee of the Contra Costa Community College District

We have audited the financial statements of the of Contra Costa Community College District 2006, Measure A bond capital outlay fund for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2010. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated April 28, 2008, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express opinions about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our meeting about planning matters in June 2010.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by Contra Costa Community College District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2010. We noted no transactions entered into by the governmental unit during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedule summarizes uncorrected misstatements of the financial statements. Management has determined that their effects are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated December 30, 2010.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Governing Board, Oversight Committee, and management of Contra Costa Community College District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2006 BOND

SUMMARY OF UNADJUSTED DIFFERENCES JUNE 30, 2009

| Fund: 2006 Bond | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Financial Statements Effects | ements | Effects | | | |
| | | W/P | Total | - | Total | Fund | _ | Net |
| Description of Audit Difference | Cause | Ref. | Assets | | Liabilities | Balance | In | Income/Loss |
| Cash in County & LAIF | Districts typically do not record the difference between Fair Market Value and Cost for County Cash and LAIF funds. This is a difference on \$101M of County Cash and LAIF between GAAP and industry practice. | 1011 | \$ 185,480 | \$ 081 | | \$ 185,480 \$ | 69 | 185,480 |
| | Total 185,480 - 185,480 185,480 | Total on Totals Captions | 185,480 \$ 102,227,606 0.18% | 5,480 7,606 \$ 0.18% | 1,339,983 0.00% | 185,480 \$ 100,887,623 0.18% | <i>\$</i> 9 | 185,480 68,414,171 0.27% |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION FINANCIAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2010

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Independent Auditors' Report | 1 |
|---|---|
| Capital Outlay - Bond Fund | |
| Balance Sheet | 2 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance | 3 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 4 |



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee And Governing Board Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the capital outlay - bond fund 2006 election of the Contra Costa Community College District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the capital outlay - bond fund 2006 election and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the capital outlay - bond fund 2006 election of the Contra Costa Community College District at June 30, 2010, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

CAPITAL OUTLAY - BOND FUND - 2006 ELECTION BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2010

| ASSETS | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Investments | \$ 101,664,154 |
| Accounts receivable | 113,240 |
| Due from other funds | 446,212 |
| Prepaid expenses | 4,000 |
| Total Assets | \$ 102,227,606 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY | |
| LIABILITIES | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,339,983 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,339,983 |
| FUND EQUITY | |
| Fund balances | 100,887,623 |
| Total Liabilities | |
| and Fund Equity | \$ 102,227,606 |
| | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND – 2006 ELECTION STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

| REVENUES | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------------|
| Interest and investment earnings | \$ | 318,547 |
| Local revenue | | 248,657 |
| Total Revenues | | 567,204 |
| EXPENDITURES | | |
| Current | | |
| Payroll and benefits | | 413,762 |
| Services and operating expenditures | | 707,250 |
| Capital outlay | | 4,655,317 |
| Total Expenditures | | 5,776,329 |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/ | | |
| (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | | (5,209,125) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES) | = | |
| Proceeds from the sale of bonds | | 73,000,000 |
| Operating transfers in | | 623,296 |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | 73,623,296 |
| EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER | | |
| FINANCING SOURCES OVER/(UNDER) | | |
| EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES | | 68,414,171 |
| FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR | | 32,473,452 |
| FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR | \$ 1 | 00,887,623 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE #1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Contra Costa Community College District Capital Outlay - Bond Fund 2006 Election conforms to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Contra Costa Community College District capital outlay - bond fund 2006 election accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California College Budget and Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements were prepared to comply with the requirements of proposition 39 related to the 55% voter approval requirements for bond issues and include only the Capital Outlay - Bond Fund 2006 Election established to account for the expenditures of the general obligation bonds approved March 6, 2006. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the complete financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Fund Accounting

The District accounts for the Capital Outlay – Bond Fund 2006 Election in a fund, which is considered to be a separate accounting entity from all other funds of the District. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual governmental funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

Fund Balance Reserves and Designations

Reservations of the ending fund balance indicate the portions of fund balance not available for appropriation or amounts legally segregated for a specific future use. Designations of the ending fund balance indicate tentative plans for financial resource utilization in a future period.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE #2 - INVESTMENTS

Investment in County Treasury - The Capital Outlay Bond Fund of the District are considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Investment in the State Investment Pool - The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California government code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

| | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Authorized | Remaining | Percentage | Investment |
| Investment Type | Maturity | of Portfolio | In One Issuer |
| County Pooled Investment Funds | N/A | None | None |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | N/A | None | None |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund and the District manages exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its funds in the County Treasury Pool and LAIF. The fair value of deposits at the County Treasury and LAIF at June 30, 2010 were \$23,723,719 and \$78,086,918, respectively, and the weighted average maturity of the pool is less than one year.

Investments Authorized Under Debt Agreements - The debt agreement limits investments to the Contra Costa County Investment Pool and the Local Agency Investment Fund. The Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the paragraphs below.

Credit Risk - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measure by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund only invests in County Investment Pool and Local Agency Investment Funds which are not required to be rated.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits - This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Capital Outlay Bond Fund or District's deposits may not be returned to it. The Capital Outlay Bond Fund does not have any deposits subject to custodial credit risk.

NOTE #3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2010, consists of the following:

| Vendor credits (City of Brentwood) | \$ 66,333 |
|---|-----------------|
| Interest | 46,907 |
| | \$ 113,240 |
| | |
| NOTE #4 – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE | |
| Accounts payable at June 30, 2010, consists of the following: | |
| Vendor payables | \$ 1,335,983 |
| Construction retentions | 4,000 |
| | \$ 1,339,983 |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE #5 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

The 2002 bond transferred \$623,296 to the 2006 bond fund for separation of construction retentions between the two bond funds. In addition, as of June 30, 2010, the 2006 bond fund had an amount of \$446,212 due from Other funds for the following:

| Due from 2002 Bond fund for expenses that were reclassified | \$ | 413,764 |
|--|--|---------|
| Due from General fund for interest receivable on county treasury funds | " <u> </u> | 32,448 |
| | \$ | 446,212 |

NOTE #6 - FUND BALANCES

Fund balance is composed of the following elements:

Unreserved

Undesignated

\$100,887,623

NOTE #7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2010, the 2006 bond fund had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

| | | Remaining | Expected |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | Construction | Date of |
| CAPITAL PROJECT | | Commitment | Completion |
| 2006 Bond | | | |
| Diablo Valley | | | |
| | Commons project | \$ 1,034,795 | Nov-12 |
| | Soccer field renovations | 14,610 | Mar-11 |
| Los Medanos | | | |
| | Student services remodel | 1,186,933 | Jun-13 |
| | Nursing building | 150,514 | Dec-11 |
| | Parking lot B extension | 21,934 | Oct-10 |
| | Brentwood new campus | 224,401 | Sep-17 |
| | Facilities master plan | 4,295 | To be determined |
| Contra Costa | | | |
| | Athletic fields | 3,950 | Dec-10 |
| | New science building | 1,348 | To be determined |
| | Total 2006 Bond | \$ 2,642,780 | |
| | | V 1001 | |

CAPITAL OUTLAY – BOND FUND 2006 ELECTION PERFORMANCE REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee And Governing Board Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have performed the agreed-upon procedures listed below, which were agreed to by the management of the Contra Costa Community College District and the Measure A Citizen's Oversight Committee, solely to review at least 25% of the expenditures of the 2006 General Obligation Bond funds for the period of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, for the purpose of verifying if the use of the funds is within the scope of the published materials specifying the intended use of bond funds. We used election documents, and District resolutions, as guidance for the intended use of the funds. For any expenditures in question, we recommended that the District obtain the opinion of legal counsel and we informed this committee as to the issues. Management is responsible for Contra Costa Community College District's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and is intended to meet the compliance requirements as outlined in subparagraph (c) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 1 of Article XIII of the California Constitution. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below for either the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Financial Summary

1. The 2006 general obligation bond funds in the amount of \$286,500,000 were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on March 6, 2006. The first series of the 2006 bonds was issued in August 2007, in the principal amount of \$73,000,000. The second series of the 2006 bonds was issued in March 2010 in the principal amount of \$73,000,000.

2. Total expenditures and encumbrances through June 30, 2010, were \$59,020,237 and are summarized as follows:

| | Prior | | | 7/1/09 to | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| Expenditures | Periods | | 6/30/10 | | Total |
| Salary and benefits | \$ | 696,208 | \$ | 413,762 | \$ 1,109,970 |
| Services and operating expenses | | 679,537 | | 707,250 | 1,386,787 |
| Capital outlay | | 34,266,566 | | 4,655,317 | 38,921,883 |
| Total expenditures | | 35,642,311 | | 5,776,329 | 41,418,640 |
| Transfer out to 2002 Measure A Fund | | 14,958,817 | | | 14,958,817 |
| Total expenditures and other uses | \$ | 50,601,128 | \$ | 5,776,329 | 56,377,457 |
| Contract commitments, June 30, 2010 | | | | | 2,642,780 |
| Total expenditures and commitments | | | | | \$ 59,020,237 |

| | Prior | | 7/1/09 to | | |
|---|-------|--|------------------|----|--------------|
| | | Periods | 6/30/10 | | Total |
| Net available proceeds for construction | \$ | 73,000,000 | \$ 73,000,000 | \$ | 146,000,000 |
| Local revenue | | 7,151,002 | 248,657 | | 7,399,659 |
| Interest earned | | 2,923,578 | 318,547 | | 3,242,125 |
| Transfer in from 2002 Measure A Fund | | <u>, </u> | 623,296 | | 623,296 |
| Total revenues received | \$ | 83,074,580 | \$ 74,190,500 | | 157,265,080 |
| Total expenditures and commitments | 4 | | | | (59,020,237) |
| Amount available | | | | \$ | 98,244,843 |
| | | | | | |

Agreed Upon Procedures Performed

- 1. Verify that the expenditure of funds was accounted for separately in the accounting records to allow for accountability.
- 2. Verify that the net funds from the sale of the General Obligation Bonds were deposited in total into the District's accounts by obtaining settlement statement for the new bond issue and verifying amounts deposited into the building and bond interest and redemption funds.
- 3. Select 25% of the expenditures and verify that the funds expended complied with the purpose that was specified to the registered voters of the District through election materials, and district resolutions. See supplemental information for list of expenditures reviewed.
- 4. Verify that the State and District policies were followed in the awarding of bids and expenditure of the funds.

Results of Procedures

1. The general obligations bond fund expenditures were accounted for separately in the capital outlay - bond fund of the District.

- 2. The second series of the bond was issued in March 2010 and the proceeds from the sale of the general obligation bonds was deposited into the capital outlay fund.
- 3. Our review of the expenditures for the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, did not reveal any items that were paid from the general obligation bond funds that did not comply with the purpose of the Bonds as approved by the registered voters of the District on March 6, 2006. We did not request that management of the District consult with legal counsel on any expenditure during the current period.
- 4. Our review of the policies over awarding of contracts revealed no exceptions to the policies of the District or the requirements of the State as they relate to awarding of contracts. Our review of the District policies over disbursement of funds revealed no exceptions to the disbursement policies of the District.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Contra Costa Community College District and the Measure A Citizen's Fiscal Oversight Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Vavrinel, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California December 30, 2010

CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

BOND FUND, 2006 ELECTION INVOICES SELECTED FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

| Amount | \$ 288,086.89 | 260,398.80 | s 1 ive | 230,200.00 | 296,751.82 | 245,245.70 | 454,980.19 | \$ 1,775,663 5,776,329 30.7% |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Project | DVC Paving project | DVC Commons Area Renovation | Fee for: Demolition of existing Student Activities building and relocation of admin and culinary program, Demolition of Humanities building and relocation of data & telephone hub, Comprehensive design services for new college complex, Site | development and utility relocation | CCC -Track & Field Improvements. | Solar Panels & Engineering | California solar initiative project | Total tested Total expenditures Percent tested |
| Payee | V0803151 Pacific Coast General Engineering | Steinberg Architects | | V0864773 CA Dept of General Services | V0813456 Bay Cities Paving & Grading Inc. | Chevron | V0871547 Pacific Gas and Electric | |
| Warrant # Payee | V0803151 | V0859378 | | V0864773 | V0813456 | V0807684 Chevron | V0871547 | |
| Date | 7/14/09 | 3/16/10 | | 5/10/10 | 5/20/10 | 6/30/10 | Accts Payable | |