MEETING MINUTES

Project: Los Medanos College

tBP P/N: 20518.00

Date: March 15, 2007

Re: Facilities Master Plan Meeting #3

Attendees: Peter Garcia President

Dan Henry Vice President

Richard Livingston Senior Dean of Instruction

Gail Newman

Erick Holtman

Ramon A. Corra

Linda Kohler

Admissions Office

Academic Senate

Associated Students

Classified Senate

Jim Taylor Manager, Facilities and Maintenance Sandi Schmidt Office of Instruction, Classified Staff

Bruce Cutler
Deborah Shepley
Tom Beckett
Gabrielle Blackman
Business Director
tBP/Architecture
tBP/Architecture
tBP/Architecture

ACTION ITEM DESCRIPTION

1.0 PURPOSE OF MEETING

A. The meeting was held to review and discuss additional preliminary options for future development.

1.1 OPTION DEVELOPMENT

- A. tBP presented options 5 and 6 for review and discussion.
- B. The following comments were part of the discussion:

SITE PLAN OPTIONS

- a. The Student Union & Fitness is shown together in both Options.
- b. A portion of the lake is shown as filled in on both Options 5 and 6.
- c. The service access to the Performing Arts Center (PAC), shown on Option 5 is desirable.
- d. The connected road on Option 6 pulls the PAC too far from the college complex.
- e. The PAC must be located close to parking.
- f. The PAC could be located on the existing tennis courts, but it would be too remote from the college complex, music and other support spaces.

tBP/Architecture · 2300 Newport Boulevard · Newport Beach, CA 92663 · ph: 949.673.0300 · fax: 949.673.9267



Architecture Planning Interiors Management ACTION ITEM DESCRIPTION

- g. If the connecting road is only used to access staff parking lots, then it would probably not be worthwhile. Perhaps it should be indicated as a "dotted line" for future planning.
- h. A connector road would change the drop-off at the CDC. This needs to be explored further.
- The eastern access point to the campus will close by June of this year. The Master Plan should show the intersection as a "right turn only".
- In the future, the College might want both the connector road <u>and</u> the PAC, so the proposed locations should not preclude the other from occurring.

BUILDING PLAN OPTIONS

- k. The Social Science instructional space needs to be improved.
- The 1st floor classrooms will be hard to lose, but a long term consideration makes sense.
- m. The new buildings will have a number of new classrooms of various sizes.
- n. Students hang out on the 2nd and 3rd floor, but not the 1st.
- o. The 1st floor is "dark and dismal".
- p. Central services can share the access with facilities.
- q. Some copy functions need to be more accessible closer to the Staff Lounge on the 3rd floor.
- r. The bookstore could move out to the Student Union/Fitness Center, making room for other shared functions on the 3rd floor.
- s. Police could expand on the 1st floor or move to the 3rd floor, if space is available. The 3rd floor location would be more accessible.
- t. The future Health Center could be located as part of the Student Union/Fitness Center separate entrances will be required.

1.2 NEXT STEPS IN THE PLANNING PROCESS

- A. Based on the discussion, the group agreed to keep the next meeting tentatively scheduled for March 27th.
- B. tBP will develop Option #7 for review at the next meeting and in preparation for the April 9th college presentation.
- C. Preliminary phasing plans will be developed for review and discussion.
- D. Planning horizons will include 3, 5, 10 years and beyond.

The above notes document our understanding of items discussed in the above referenced meeting. Unless written notice to the contrary is received within seven (7) days, the notations will be considered correct and acceptable.

Written by:

Deborah Shepley, tBP/Architecture

Distribution:

Planning Committee

ACTION	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	
\20518.00 Los N	Medanos∖Meeting Min	nutes\LMC Meeting Minutes 2-07-07.doc	