

## **Shared Governance Council**

## **MINUTES**

May 10, 2023

2:00 - 4:00 p.m., In Person (SS4-409 and BRT-135) and via Zoom

MEMBERS PRESENT: R. Anicetti, J. Bui, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, P. Ralston, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. Sukhu.

OTHER	OTHER ATTENDEES: C. Konsavage, M. Lewis, A. Simone, J. Townsend, S. Woltz (guests); J. Adams (support).					
\Item #	Topic/Activity	Handouts/ References	Action(s)			
<b>STAND</b>	ING ITEMS:					
1.	<b>Welcome</b> – Dr. Ralston welcomed everyone to the meeting and reminded the group about the exciting events coming up at the College.					
2.	Public Comment – N/A					
	Review  Agenda: Dr. Ralston reviewed the agenda and reminded the group about the additional SEM item to be added.		SEM item added to agenda			
3.	<ul> <li>SGC approved adding the SEM item to the 5/10/23 agenda. MSC: R. Anicetti/N. Korkuna. Yeas – R. Anicetti, J. Bui, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. Sukhu; Nays – N/A; Abstentions: N/A.</li> <li>SGC approved the 5/10/23 agenda, as amended. MSC: C. Montoya/N. Korkuna. Yeas – R. Anicetti, J. Bui, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. Sukhu; Nays – N/A; Abstentions: N/A.</li> <li>Minutes (draft) from April 26, 2023: The 4/26 minutes was deferred to the next SGC meeting, Jennifer Adams apologized for the delay.</li> </ul>		Amended agenda approved 4/26/23 minutes deferred			
4.	Old Business					
	Assessment of Participatory Governance Structure  Dr. Ralston explained that the updated draft shared today now includes SGC's feedback offered during the last meeting. SGC continued it's review/discussion and suggested the following additional changes to the proposal for the Assessment: conduct focus groups as part of the college-wide opportunities; modify language related to increasing diversity/representation in participatory governance (e.g. perhaps change "people from differing backgrounds" to "people of marginalized backgrounds"; reference the "work product" that the Task Group is expected to deliver within the proposal; be clear that the task group is seeking/incorporating college-wide feedback into the development of the "products" (rather than just seeking feedback on the finished product); rather than outlining everything the Task Group needs to address in their work, have the Task Group (once established) develop the plan/timeline and present it to SGC for review/input; revise the estimated assessment timeline for the entire 2023-24 year (instead of only Fall 2023); specify that the Task Group needs to meet with all of the participatory governance committees as part of their work; identify 11/8/23 for a Task Group update to SGC, and 3/13/24 as the date by which the Task Group presents its "work products" to SGC; and to consider the possibility of providing compensation to the Task Group members (students and employees), depending on the time commitment required to complete their charge.SGC approved the Participatory Governance Assessment proposal, as amended. MSC: R. Anicetti /C. Montoya; Yeas – R. Anicetti, J. Bui, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. Sukhu; Nays – N/A; Abstentions: N/A	Participatory Governance Assessment Proposal (draft)	Assessment proposal approved			
	Monday Meeting Calendar – Draft for Fall 2023  SGC reviewed the draft Monday Meeting Calendar presented at the last meeting, and discussed/supported incorporating the following edits: indicating that the College Assembly sessions be in person, and the possibility of a hyflex option meeting modality be determined for each meeting by the President (based on topic, format, etc); noting that the Senates would have the option to meet on October 2, instead of September 25 (since 9/25 is Yom Kippur), and no College Assembly would be scheduled for 10/2; and adding a reference to the prospect of a college-wide meeting regarding participatory governance on Friday, October 6. SGC approved the Fall 2023 Monday Meeting Calendar, as amended. MSC: C. Reyes/W. Cruz. Yeas – R. Anicetti, J. Bui, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. Sukhu; Nays – N/A; Abstentions: N/A.	Fall 2023 Monday Meeting Calendar (draft)	Fall 2023 Monday Meeting Calendar approved			
	Budget Update	Spring 2023				
	• Resource Allocation Process (RAP) for Spring 2023	Rap Overview				
5.	Vice President Montoya provided a brief recap of the SGC summary review, as well as the review process used by the RAP sub-group. He and Dr. Ralston also outlined the four categories of proposals (re: funding eligibility): Instructional Equipment; Lottery; pending additional review by the President; and Other. VP Montoya noted that proposals in the "Other" category aren't eligible for any of the	Spring 2023 RAP Review				

consideration. SGC approved MSC: C. Reyes/W. Cruz; Yeas Sukhu; Nays – N/A; Abstention	the subgroup wanted to highlight these for the President and Cabinet as items that warrant further I the "Spring 2023 Review Summary" as outlined, for the categories with available/identified funding. s – R. Anicetti, W. Cruz, L. Giambattista, N. Korkuna, M. Lapriore, C. Montoya, C. Reyes, J. Saito, I. ns: N/A.  emic Senate Institutional Racism Taskforce	Summary (4/26) Spring 2023 Budget Request	
Dr. Ralston reminded SGC that any of the eligible funding sou Employment Opportunity (EE Development Advisory Comm	at was among the submitted RAP requests, but was among the proposals separated out for not aligning with arces. She explained that, to address the issue of institutional racism, she would like to task the Equal (EO) Committee, Institutional Development for Equity Access (IDEA Committee), and Professional nittee (PDAC) in the Fall with a joint effort to work on developing a plan to look at the ways we can so in this regard. VP Montoya noted that this has been discussed in EEO for some time, and that much of the	Database  Budget Update	
work deals with recruitment/hi work of the participatory gove proposal with Dr. Simone and impacted by institutional racis efforts/resources on the trainin on dissecting past decisions/ac that she feels it is important to discussion had to be closed, as proposal) had to be caputured is that SCG is in charge of the that Dr. Ralston proposed is So	dring and is tied to District policy/procedure; he added that elements of this effort may be involved in the ernance task group. Dr. Ralston conveyed to SGC that she has previously shared her thoughts on the Dr. Lewis, noting that she doesn't doubt there were things that transpired (re: Ethnic Studies) that were sm – but that she believes our institution and College community will be better served to focus our ng, tools, and transformation to make improvements for the future (rather than focusing time and resources ections. Dr. Simone, who was involved in developing the Academic Senate's task force proposal, expressed o collect data and document activities to avoid repeating similar issues in the future. The agenda item and is the meeting time had elapsed, so the comments of Janice Townsend (who was also involved in the Senate via the Zoom chat: "The task team request and what you are proposing are apples and oranges. Bottom line a Strategic goals. SGC is also in charge of the charges of IDEA, PDAC, and EEO committee. So the work GC work and should be currently being done given our committees and SGC given our strategic goals.	Academic Senate Taskforce Request - Scope  Academic Senate Taskforce - RAP Request	
6. New Business	he need for the Assessment. They are two different things!"		
<b>6a.</b> Academic Senate Recommendati Mark Lewis provided an overview indicated that there isn't currently a	ion – College Ombudsperson of the College Ombudsperson recommendation put forward by the Academic Senate. Dr. Ralston an available/eligible funding source, and she suggested that Mark Lewis meet with Dean Armendariz and pment (or "fleshing out") of the Ombudsperson proposal.		
7. Accreditation (standing item) – N/A			
8. Curriculum: New Instructional Prog	gram Proposals – N/A		
9. Updates & Announcements/Constitue  • President  • Classified Senate  • Academic Senate  • LMCAS  • Management Team  • Curriculum  • Other	<b>lency Reports</b> – Due to the time constraints of a shorter meeting, the constituency reports were deferred.		
	t: Legislation, Research & Best Practices – N/A		
the Participatory Governance Assessme	ctive constituency groups that SGC: approved a recommendation on Spring 2023 RAP proposals; approved ent; and approved the Fall 2023 Monday Meeting Calendar.		
12. Adjournment  • SGC adjourned the meeting by	y consensus. MSC: M. Lapriore/R. Anicetti.		Meeting adjourned