

November 2, 2017 2:00 – 4:00 pm Core Conference Room CO-420

Committee Chair: Chialin Hsieh Recorder: BethAnn Robertson

Committee Members Present: Gail Newman, Nancy Ybarra, Laurie Huffman, Scott Hubbard, Louie Giambattista, Leetha Robertson, BethAnn Robertson

Committee Members Not Present: Bob Kratochvil, Mary Oleson

Guests: Robert (Bob) Pacheco (via Zoom), Kevin Horan

CI	URRENT ITEMS					
Item #	Topic/Activity	Desired Outcome	Information Discussion Action	Lead	Time (mins)	Follow up
1.	Welcome			Hsieh		• The Committee welcomed Bob Pacheco, the new Interim Senior Dean of Research & Planning from the District Office.
2.	Public Comment	Listen to our college community	I	Hsieh	5	<ul> <li>The Learning Communities Comprehensive Program Review Template has been completed and is available for review and feedback from Committee members.</li> <li>TedEx is coming to LMC on November 14<sup>th</sup> for the "Future of Everything".</li> </ul>
3.	Agenda Minutes October 5, 2017	Review and approval Review and approval	A A	Hsieh	5	<ul> <li>The Committee reviewed and approved the agenda with the revision to move item #8 to become item #4. (Scott H. motioned, Leetha R. seconded; 7-0-0)</li> <li>The Committee reviewed and approved the October 5, 2017 minutes (Nancy Y. motioned, Leetha R. seconded; 7-0-1, Louie G. abstained)</li> </ul>
	NEW BUSINESS					
4.	Guided Pathway Assessment Tool • Shared Metrics • Integrated Plan	Discuss shared metrics Utilizing the tool for integrated planning	I, D	Horan/ Ybarra	20	Kevin Horan, Vice President of Instruction & Student Services, and Nancy Ybarra, Dean of Liberals Arts and Planning Committee Management Representative, presented the Committee with handouts and information on the new Guided Pathways Assessment Tool. The Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI) has provided workshops on the self-assessment tool. The intent of these workshops was to provide information on the tool and for Colleges to begin work on their self-assessment. Ten participants were identified at LMC consisting of faculty, deans and management. The self-



 	Core Conference Room Co	
		assessment report requires endorsement from the CIO, CSSO, Academic Senate President and the Governing Board. It is on the agenda for Governing Board approval at their December meeting and then to be submitted to the State Chancellor's Office on December 23, 2017. The self-assessment report is not evaluated however, it is required in order to engage in dialogue on the readiness of LMC for Guided Pathways.  • The funding awarded for LMC is \$950,000 over a five year period with each year receiving a different allotment amount. At this point, it is an institutional initiative and we do not know if this will be continued funding from the State or if it is merely funding to get the initiative implemented.  • In order to receive the funding allotment in April 2018, a multiyear workplan must be completed and submitted on March 30, 2018. A steering committee will be formed, primarily driven by faculty, to develop the workplan. The Teaching & Learning Committee (TLC) will have input into the workplan as well. If LMC does not complete the requirements within the deadlines assigned then the funding allotted to us would be re-allocated. Approximately twenty-two Colleges have already implemented Guided Pathways and LMC already has some elements in place. Our new Senior Dean of Research & Planning at the District Office was a coach for twenty Colleges that are already using Guided Pathways.  • Fourteen elements need to be assessed and given a rating on a rubric scale of four (pre-adoption, early adoption, scaling in progress and full scale). On most of the elements LMC has placed either in early adoption or scaling in progress. Two of the elements rated were presented to the:  • Element #3 Integrated Planning (Rating of Early Adoption) – this rating was selected due to the completion of the Integrated Plan 2017-2019 and the six (6) goals included in the plan that emerged organically from conversations that took place during the framing stage of the plan.  • Element #2 Shared Metrics (Rating of Scaling in Progress) – this rat



		Core	Conference R	100III CO-4	+ <b>∠</b> U	
						opportunities for improvement and to tell success stories. LMC has also created the Office of Planning & Institutional Effectiveness and is currently serving as a pilot institution for new student educational planning and early alert (Starfish) tools. As a College, we are currently working on systematically and regularly tracking student data to inform progress across initiatives as well as, disaggregating and examining the data with a focus on promoting equitable outcomes for our students.
	OLD BUSINESS					
5.	College Assembly October 16, 2017  • Debrief  • Input, feedback, comments		I, D	Hsieh/ Huffman	15	<ul> <li>The feedback received from faculty was a general consensus in favor of not adding an additional goal to the <i>Strategic Plan 2017-2019: An Educational Master Plan for LMC</i> (SPEMP).</li> <li>It is difficult to add something to a plan without an assessment of the progress made or not made on achieving the outlined goals. We need to assess our SPEMP now and that will provide the College with the data and information needed to see where we are on meeting our objectives/directions/strategies. There is a practicality in not adding goals/objectives in the middle of the plan "life" as there is not enough time to implement and assess the additional goals/objectives before the end of the plan.</li> </ul>
6.	Strategic Plan: An Educational Master Plan  Develop an annual evaluation process  Develop process for ideas/suggestions/ themes arising from mid-plan evaluation	Annual Progress Report format, timeline, reporting schedules and parking lot for ideas/suggestions/ themes Inclusion of other College plans and initiatives in process	I, D, A	Hsieh	25	<ul> <li>We have written in to the <i>Strategic Plan 2014-2019</i> to evaluate our directions however, an evaluation has never been conducted. The Office P&amp;IE has created an Excel spreadsheet that contains the exports of all program reviews for the past three (3) years sorted by alignment with strategic directions which will help inform the Committee as to which programs/units have aligned their objectives with which strategic directions. An annual review of this spreadsheet should be conducted by the Planning Committee and/or the President's Cabinet.</li> <li>We will still need to capture relevant activities conducted by other plans and develop outcomes/metrics to measure progress/achievement of directions and/or objectives.</li> <li>A suggestion is made to work with the Guided Pathways Workplan Steering Committee (once membership is determined) when developing the <i>Strategic Plan 2014-2019</i> progress report.</li> </ul>



		Cole	Conterence R	100III CO-2	+20	
						<ul> <li>The Committee reviewed the "implementation diagram" contained in the Strategic Plan 2014-2019, and it was suggested to add Guided Pathways in to the green box labeled "Institutional/Inter-Departmental Initiatives".</li> <li>It is suggested that a retreat be held to get qualitative feedback from various College plan leaders, coordinators, faculty, etc. (similar to what was done during the planning phases of the Strategic Plan 2014-2019). It is also recommended that a survey be conducted to work towards "closing the loop" on the Educational Master Plan 2006-2016. The results from this survey can be used to help inform and direct the aforementioned retreat.</li> <li>Is there a mapping method that we can incorporate to map the goals of the Strategic Plan, Integrated Plan and Guided Pathways.</li> <li>The Planning Committee members will review the handouts titled "LMC Planning/Accreditation Timeline—Milestones" and the "Los Medanos College Planning Calendar 2014-2020" and bring input and/or feedback to the next meeting from their constituencies. BethAnn will also research four-year institution models for progress reports/tracking of strategic plan goals and bring any findings to the next meeting.</li> </ul>
	NEW BUSINESS					
7.	ACCJC Team Training	Overview of recent ACCJC Training	I, D	Huffman	10	<ul> <li>Laurie Huffman attended an ACCJC Team Training under the new President, Dr. Richard Winn and the new standards.</li> <li>The new ACCJC President is reviewing the Institutional Self-Evaluation Report (ISER) and developing a template which is closer to that of four-year institutions (approximately 65 pages).</li> <li>The new standards are not punitive and is more of a quality focused essay. Implementing the usage of more narratives, "less is more" in reporting and visiting team members only reviewing current ISER information, not reviewing previous reports/visits.</li> </ul>
8.	<ul> <li>Educational Master Plan 2006-16</li> <li>Evaluation of previous EMP</li> <li>Scorecard and/or Launch Board-Guided Pathways</li> </ul>	Campus survey on implementation of strategies Final data on EMP (Research Office)	I, D, A	Hsieh	20	<ul> <li>The Committee reviewed a timeline and summary detailing the history of Educational and Strategic Planning at LMC.</li> <li>Laurie has recommended a survey that may be instrumental in collecting data/information in this effort. The survey may help</li> </ul>



		Corc	Contelence	Room CO	720	
						with informing us on progress towards some of the goals however, it does not include nor align with all of our goals.  • It is suggested that we use the SWOT Analysis to help address the six (6) goals outlined in the Educational Master Plan 2006-2016.  • Scott Hubbard (lead), Nancy Ybarra, Chialin Hsieh and BethAnn Robertson will work on a sub-committee tasked with developing a process and method to evaluate the Educational Master Plan 2006-2016.  • The Committee will review the survey Laurie recommended (link provided here and in the "Laurie Handout" document on the Committee's OneDrive <a href="https://heri.ucla.edu/diverse-learning-environments-survey/">https://heri.ucla.edu/diverse-learning-environments-survey/</a> ) and bring feedback to the next meeting.  • The Evaluation of EMP Sub-Committee will provide the Committee with a report-out at the next meeting.
9.	Campus Communication	Actions and Notable Items to Report from Planning Committee to Constituencies		All	10	Items #6 and #8 have been identified as specific items requiring report-out and constituency feedback.
10.	Announcements			All	5	No announcements at this time.
11.	Building Future Agendas:  • Frequency of Program Review Cycle  • Discuss strategies to implement Integrated Planning  • Regular Cycles for Surveys and Reviewing the College Mission	Gather Committee comments and suggestions re these and additional agenda items	I, D	All	5	
12.	Adjournment at p.m.					
	Fall 2017 meeting dates: December 7 <sup>th</sup>					