

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
------------------	------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

*(Source: Environmental Scan, 2013)*

LOCATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No matter where you live in the county, there is a community college campus within 15 minutes from you.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marketing and branding to demonstrate quality and value of CC education.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
NATURE OF COMMUNITY COLLEGES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open admission institutions and community colleges get students underprepared for college and work.</li> <li>• Brick and mortar mentality presuming that education will be delivered on college campuses through face-to-face interactions between students and faculty.</li> <li>• A limited definition of student base encompassing primarily those recently graduated from high schools.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires larger investment in basic skills education, tutoring and mentoring.</li> <li>• Funding for community colleges (\$5447 in 2012-13) continues to be lower than the other 3 segments. K-12 (\$7569), CSU (\$12,729) and UC (\$24,909)</li> <li>• Community Colleges do not get their fair share of high school students compared to UCs and CSUs.</li> </ul>
DEMOGRAPHICS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Population growth is in the east (26.6%, 2011) and south of the county due to availability of land and more affordable housing.</li> <li>• Fastest growing city in the county is Brentwood. Oakley also growing rapidly.</li> <li>• Highest concentration of under 19 years old in East County.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outreach and marketing to attract 25-44 year olds (27.1%, of the county. 2011) for an AA degree and/or professional/skills training</li> <li>• Outreach to K-12; 39% (2011) of the population is under 19 years old.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Highest growth in 20-24 yr olds.</li> </ul>		
DIVERSITY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Highly diverse in terms of race and ethnicity. Hispanic 34% (57.3% growth between 2000-2010) Afr. Am 12.9% (48% growth) Asian/Pac Is. 9.2% (35.8% growth) White 39.2% (-7.9% growth)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Marketing and outreach in Spanish.</li> <li>Student Services.</li> <li>Basic skills.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
INTERNATIONAL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Foreign born residents (21.2%, 2011) grew at 51% between 2000-2011. Come from Latin America, Asia mainly; then Africa and Europe</li> <li>Residents speaking a language other than English at home (33.4%, in 2011) grew at 50.8% between 2000-2011.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase diversity of faculty and staff</li> <li>Median home price is lower than county average to attract employees.</li> <li>ESL classes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
LEVEL OF EDUCATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>East County has the highest percentage of persons with HS diploma or less (43.4%), and the highest percentage of those with AA/AS degree or some college (37.8%).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential to attract more to complete AA/AS degrees and certificates.</li> <li>Impact on strategic directions and mission.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>East County has the lowest percentage of bachelor's degrees (14.1%) and graduate degree holders (5.2%)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact on transfer for 4-year institutions.</li> </ul>
HS GRADUATES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>East County had the largest increase (50%, 2000-2011) in the number of HS graduates in the county.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential to work more closely with feeder higher schools.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HS graduation rates are lower for Hispanic and African American students.</li> <li>Greater demand for basic skills and remedial education in English, math or both.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower graduation rates for certain ethnic groups is also reflected in lower college graduation rates.</li> <li>Negative impact on student success,</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average API scores for HS students in East County is 734 (State target is 800)</li> </ul>	<p>retention and achievement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The number of HS graduates is anticipated to trend downwards in 2014-15.</li> </ul>
ADULTS IN COLLEGE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>East County has the highest community college-going rate (30.6%) in the county.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>71.2% (2011) of the population 25 years and older has no college degrees. Highest in the county. Represents 119,624 persons. This is an untapped gold mine. It is a huge outreach and marketing opportunity.</li> <li>Potential to offer middle-skills and professional programs leading to jobs and career advancement.</li> <li>Potential to increase completions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Large number of adults remain functionally illiterate</li> </ul>
SOCIO-ECONOMICS		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research shows that working 15 or fewer hours per week – ideally on campus or in a position related to ones’ academic interests – has a positive impact on persistence and degree completion.</li> <li>Expand paid internships.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1/3 of CCCCD students need financial aid – for tuition and books.</li> <li>Students are working more hours while going to school.</li> <li>Household income is \$83K, slightly above the county average but experiencing the slowest growth in county.</li> <li>Housing values below county average.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Family unit is changing to the post-modern family. Nuclear family is now the minority.</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
BUSINESS & INDUSTRY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growth industries in the county: health care, retail, professional/technical/scientific services, government, finance &amp; insurance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Businesses and political leaders expect higher education to provide training and retraining of the workforce in order to be able to compete in a global economy and maintain the standard of living.</li> <li>• In East County: health care, retail, professional, scientific and technical, finance and insurance, manufacturing, utilities.</li> <li>• Occupations include: office and admin support, Bus and Financial operations, Management, Education, Healthcare and Technical Occupations, Computer and Mathematical, Architecture and Engineering, Protective Services,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Higher unemployment in East county 9.2% (2011) than in the county and the state.</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
------------------	------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

*(Source – Student Satisfaction Survey)*

<p>DEMOGRAPHICS 74.5% students between 19-24yrs 18% students between 25-40 yrs</p> <p>49.4% Female 47.6% Male</p> <p>Hispanic 27.1% White 20.7% Two or more races 15.9% Af Am 11% Asian 6.8%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p>SOCIO-ECONOMIC 52.2% are employed; 44.7 are not employed.</p> <p>42.4% of students work 16+ hours a week; 15.8% work 15 or less hours a week; 38.3% do not work, 3.5% N/A</p> <p>4.9% study 0 hrs/wk 36% of students study 1-7 hrs/wk; 28.2% study 8-15 hrs/wk; 16.1% study 16-23 hrs/wk; 7.3% study 24-33 hrs/wk;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 42.5% have parents who completed a 2 or 4 yr degree; 54.4 % did not complete; 3% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
<p>STUDENT GOALS</p> <p>72.6% intend to complete a Cert or degree; 24.4% do not</p> <p>75.4% intend to transfer; 21.1% do not</p> <p>18.1% are following a CTE vocational Education major; 78.8% are not</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
EXPERIENCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 92.5% satisfied with academic experience; 5.3% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 82.3% satisfied with social experience; 12.5% dissatisfied</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 74.3% satisfied with helpfulness of campus personnel; 11.8% dissatisfied; 13.9% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 87.1% satisfied; 10.7% dissatisfied</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7.14% satisfied with space on campus for relaxing or socializing between classes; 17.4% dissatisfied; 10.7% N/A</li> <li>• 57.7% satisfied with the availability of recreational opportunities or facilities on campus; 18.2% dissatisfied; 24.1% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 49.6% are satisfied with the availability of parking on campus; 40.7% are dissatisfied; 9.7% N/A</li> </ul>
SAFETY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 83.1% satisfied with safety on campus; 11.6% dissatisfied; 5.3% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
REGISTRATION & ENROLLMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 80% satisfied with the process for paying for classes; 13.2% dissatisfied; 6.8% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 65.2% satisfied with the overall process for registering for classes; 31.8% dissatisfied; 3.1% N/A</li> <li>• 68.1% satisfied with course placement based on assessment results (Eng, Math, ESL); 15.3% dissatisfied; 16.5% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
INSTRUCTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 93.4% satisfied with instructors; 4.6% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 86% satisfied with quality of academic programs; 7.6% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 90.7% satisfied with quality of instruction; 6.6% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 88% satisfied with info provided by instructors about course requirements; 8.7% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 91% satisfied with general helpfulness of instructors; 5.9% dissatisfied; 3% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 74.4% satisfied with courses in the major; 16.4% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 73.2% satisfied with courses outside major (GE); 17.1% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 79% satisfied with availability of instructors for communication outside of class; 14.2% dissatisfied; 6.8% N/A</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 62.6% satisfied with getting courses in sequence needed; 31.6% dissatisfied</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
ONLINE COURSES	•	•	• 34.8% satisfied; 15.1% dissatisfied; 50.1% N/A with online course experience	•
ADVISING & COUNSELING	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 77.5% satisfied with relevance of class to career goals, objectives &amp; employment; 15% dissatisfied; 7.5% N/A</li> <li>• 75.4% satisfied with academic advising from instructor; 14.4% dissatisfied</li> <li>• 36.6% satisfied with counseling for personal matters, 14.1% dissatisfied, and 49.3% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 56.9% satisfied with info obtained about career and job opportunities; 26.9% dissatisfied; 16.3% N/A</li> <li>• 61.1% satisfied with counseling in counseling center; 20.9% dissatisfied; 18% N/A</li> <li>• 60.7% satisfied with accuracy of info provided by counselor about requirements in major; 20.9% dissatisfied; 18.4% N/A</li> </ul>	•
SERVICES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 62.8% satisfied with availability of tutoring services; 9.8% dissatisfied; 27.5% N/A</li> <li>• 69.2% satisfied obtaining help using computers in the labs; 9% dissatisfied; 21.5% N/A</li> <li>• 75.9% satisfied with getting books needed from campus bookstore; 15.1 dissatisfied; 8.8% N/A</li> </ul>	•	•

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
TECHNOLOGY		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 74.1% satisfied with quality of specially equipped labs or training facilities; 8.7% dissatisfied; 14.6% N/A</li> <li>• 77.9% satisfied with use of technology in the classroom; 12.9% dissatisfied; 9.4% N/A</li> <li>• 80.9% satisfied with the availability of computers in labs; 8.3% dissatisfied; 10.8% N/A</li> </ul>	•	•
LIBRARY	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 72.1% satisfied with the availability of needed materials in the library; 6.9% dissatisfied; 21% N/A</li> <li>• 71.6% satisfied with the hours of operation of the library; 13.1% dissatisfied; 15.3% N/A</li> <li>• 71.3% satisfied with the availability of spaces for individual and group study in the library; 12.8% dissatisfied; 15.8% N/A</li> </ul>	•	•
COMMUNICATION & MARKETING	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 82.9% satisfied with LMC website; 12.3% dissatisfied; 4.8% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 68.1% satisfied with communication with LMC personnel via phone and email; 17.9% dissatisfied; 14.1% N/A</li> </ul>	•

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
DIVERSITY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 90.2% have not experienced verbal or physical harassment due to gender; 7.4% have; 2.5% N/A</li> <li>• 93.7% have not experienced verbal or physical harassment due to sexual orientation; 3.2% have; 3% N/A</li> <li>• 90% have not experienced verbal or physical harassment due to race/ethnicity; 6.6% have; 3.4% N/A</li> <li>• 89.3% are satisfied with the diversity of LMC students; 6.9% are not; 3.8% N/A</li> <li>• 89% are satisfied with the diversity of LMC employees; 6.9% are not; 4.1% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 82.6% are comfortable discussing ethnic/race issues with members of the LMC community; 12.9% are not; 4.2% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 73% agree that perspectives and contributions of their culture is included in the curriculum; 22.5% disagree; 4.4% N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES	•	•	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 56.2% satisfied with opportunities to engage in extra-curricular activities; 14.2% dissatisfied; 19.5% N/A</li> <li>• 54.5% satisfied with opportunities to attend campus cultural events; 14.8% dissatisfied; 10.7% N/A</li> </ul>	•

## LMC SWOT ANALYSIS

<u>CRITERION</u>	<u>STRENGTHS</u>	<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	<u>WEAKNESSES</u>	<u>THREATS</u>
------------------	------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

*(Source – SENSE and CCSSE)*

<p>SENSE (fall 2012)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High expectations &amp; Aspirations (50.6% benchmarked against 56.7%)</li> <li>• Engaged learning (62.7% benchmarked against 60.2%)</li> <li>• Academic and Social Support Network 49.2% benchmarked against 57.2%)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Early connections (39.4% benchmarked against 66.1%)</li> <li>• Clear Academic Plan &amp; Pathway (31.1% benchmarked against 61.1%)</li> <li>• Effective Track to College Readiness (44.3% benchmarked against 59.1%)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p>CCSSE (spring 2013)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Active &amp; Collaborative Learning (53.6% benchmarked against 60%)</li> <li>• Student Effort (54% benchmarked against 57.8%)</li> <li>• Academic Challenge (52.3% benchmarked against 57.3%)</li> <li>• Student-Faculty Interaction (50.8% benchmarked against 58.3%)</li> <li>• Support for Learners (50.6% benchmarked against 59.7%)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>