## Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative Advisory Committee

Framework of Indicators **College/District Indicator Brief Definition** Student performance and outcomes Percentage of degree, certificate and/or transfer-seeking students starting first time in 2008-09 tracked for six years through 2013-14 who completed a degree, certificate Completion Rate (Scorecard): or transfer-related outcomes. College-Prepared Student's lowest course attempted in Math and/or English was college level Unprepared for College Student's lowest course attempted in Math and/or English was pre-collegiate level Overall Student attempted any level of Math or English in the first three years Percentage of credit students tracked for six years through 2013-14 who started first Remedial rate (Scorecard): time in 2008-09 below transfer level in English, mathematics, and/or ESL and completed a college-level course in the same discipline Math See above English See above **ESL** See above Percentage of students tracked for six years through 2013-14 who started first time in Career Technical Education Rate 2008-09 and completed more than eight units in courses classified as career technical (Scorecard) education in a single discipline and completed a degree, certificate or transferred Successful course completion (Datamart) Percentage of students who earn a grade of "C" or better or "credit" in 2013-14. Completion of degrees (Datamart) Number of associate degrees completed in 2013-14 Completion of certificates (Datamart) Number of Chancellor's Office-approved certificates completed in 2013-14 Number of students who transfer to 4-Number of students who transfer to a four-year institution, including CSU, UC, or private university in 2013-14. 1 year institutions (Datamart) Accreditation Status Latest ACCJC action: Fully Accredited, Reaffirmed Fully Accredited, Warning Accreditation status Fully Accredited, Probation Fully Accredited, Show Cause Fully Accredited, Restoration Date of next visit Informational item - no target collected. Fiscal viability and programmatic compliance with state and federal guidelines Salaries and benefits as a percentage of unrestricted general fund expenditures, Salary and Benefits excluding other outgoing expenditures Full-Time Equivalent Students Annual number of full-time equivalent students Annual Operating Excess/(Deficiency) Net increase or decrease in unrestricted general fund balance Fund Balance Ending unrestricted general fund balance as a percentage of total expenditures Cash Balance Unrestricted and restricted general fund cash balance, excluding investments Modified opinion, material weaknesses, or significant deficiencies as identified in an

annual independent audited financial statement

Audit Findings

In year one, three years of baseline trend data would be prepopulated and sent to each college by the Chancellor's Office. Each college would use a collegial consultation process to set goals (short term and long term) for the subsequent year and return a spreadsheet to the Chancellor's Office with the goals in June.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Metric dependent upon external variables (UC and CSU transfer admission policy) and therefore collected as information. Colleges would NOT be expected to identify a goal.