

SEM Recommended Priorities for 2023-2024

1. Complete a one-year schedule process and begin developing a two-year scheduling process that is cross disciplinary and aligns with Learning, Major and Career Pathways, and integrates strategic scheduling activities across college divisions and department initiatives.
2. Implement student success teams with a focus on DI populations, first-year students, and online learners while including continuous clarification of a student's academic path.

Feedback on the current SEM membership composition, meeting and operations structure, and recommendations

I like the current membership composition and meeting structure.

It feels like SEM has become a layer of bureaucracy that is not needed. It seems that SEM could be a working group that meets 4x per year to make sure that the activities that need to be synchronized across all divisions are identified and holding each accountable.

None

Not sure at this time.

I think there is too much coordination placed on tri-chair model right now. If it were more of a committee/subcommittee structure where each workgroup was a subcommittee, with lead and regular contributors, that met separately from SEM time and reported back during SEM it would help streamline leadership model and worktime.

We need definitions of how we will know when each Objective will be met. When my group had discussion, there were different ideas of what indicated an Objective had been met.

Composition and brown act status makes sense for a group working on items impacting the college as a whole.

No additional feedback other than updates surrounding sub-groups must be communicated back to the entire committee.

Instead of meeting bi-monthly, maybe meet once a month. Extend membership to entire college, get more input from students, get more faculty and classified professionals involved.

Composition. SEM should be a reporting body and have smaller work groups rather than trying to do work at the meeting. Slimming representation down to 2 reps per contingency, then having the work groups gather their own representation and report back to the bigger body of SEM. We need time and space to get the work done that is not happening, but also time and space to report on the work that is happening in other places.

The committee should be more of a working group making recommendations to SGC, cabinet, and other groups and committees on campus.

I am new so I'm still learning. I believe better communication within the SEM committee is needed. The subgroup I was in did not appear to know what some of the items in the Goals & Objectives were. This may have caused some Goals/Objectives being inaccurately reported in the Unmet-Completed ranking.

We definitely need more time, also having better descriptions of what we are talking about, more details please.