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Program Maintenance Proposal Process
- How did the new process work for the SGC whereby the President's Cabinet reviewed and made decisions about funding Program Maintenance Proposals and then the President shared the information with the SGC? Did this revised process effectively streamline the process for project submitters?

Program Improvement and Development Proposal Process
- Did a one-stage only process work effectively?
- Was the newly revised proposal form helpful in understanding proposals?
- Was the SGC given sufficient opportunity to evaluate the concepts behind each proposal?
- Were the presentations and responses by Senior Deans to SGC questions during the first session review of proposals helpful?
- Was the discussion of proposals during the second session effective in making a recommendation to the President?
- Did the President’s presentation of his decisions regarding the funding of proposals prior to issuing his memo to the college meet the expectations of the SGC?
- Was there a sense that the overall process used everyone’s time more efficiently (both the SGC and project submitters)?

Classified Staffing Allocation Process
- The only change to the process this year was the presentations each Senior Dean made to the SGC regarding their areas' proposals. Was this helpful?
- Was the proposal form helpful in understanding proposals?
- Was the overall process effective?
- Did the President’s presentation of his decisions regarding the funding of proposals prior to issuing his memo to the college meet the expectations of the SGC?

SGC Operating Procedures
- Were the scoring procedures and results helpful towards the SGC making its funding recommendations to the President?
- Does it still make sense that SGC members not rate proposals from their areas?
- The SGC Ground rules direct the committee members to not address proposals from their areas. Was this effectively applied this year, and is it still a good rule to enforce?