BUILDING FUND 2006 MEASURE A FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2011

BUILDING FUND - 2006 MEASURE A TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2011

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Building Fund – 2006 Measure A	
Balance Sheet	2
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	3
Notes to Financial Statements	4
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> Standards Independent Auditors' Report	10
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	13
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	14



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund 2006 Measure A, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to the 2006 Measure A and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in the notes to the financial statements, the accompanying financial statements reflect certain changes required as a result of the implementation of GASB Statement No. 54 for the year ended June 30, 2011.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund 2006 Measure A of the Contra Costa Community College District at June 30, 2011, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 3, 2012, on our consideration of the District Building Fund's (2006 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP
Pleasanton, California
January 3, 2012

BUILDING FUND - 2006 MEASURE A BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2011

ASSETS	
Investments	\$ 90,347,169
Accounts receivable	111,978
Due from other funds	280,178
Prepaid expenses	4,000
Total Assets	\$ 90,743,325
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 839,188
Due to other funds	839,087
Total Liabilities	1,678,275
FUND EQUITY	
Restricted	89,065,050
Total Liabilities	
and Fund Equity	\$ 90,743,325
1 2	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BUILDING FUND - 2006 MEASURE A STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

REVENUES	
Interest and investment earnings	_\$ 470,714_
Total Revenues	470,714
EXPENDITURES	
Current	
Payroll and benefits	1,223,064
Books and supplies	80
Services and operating expenditures	1,209,844
Capital outlay	9,860,300
Total Expenditures	12,293,288
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/	
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(11,822,574)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	100,887,624_
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 89,065,050

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund - 2006 Measure A conforms to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund - 2006 Measure A accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California College Budget and Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements were prepared to comply with the requirements of proposition 39 related to the 55% voter approval requirements for bond issues and include only the Building Fund - 2006 Measure A established to account for the expenditures of the general obligation bonds approved in March 6, 2006. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the complete financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Fund Accounting

The District accounts for the Building Fund - 2006 Measure A in a fund, which is considered to be a separate accounting entity from all other funds of the District. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual governmental funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2011, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable – amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Committed – amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the governing board. The governing board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through ordinances or resolutions approved by the governing board.

Assigned – amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. Under the District's adopted policy, only the governing board or chief business officer may assign amounts for specific purposes.

Unassigned – all other spendable amounts.

Spending Order

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Changes in Accounting Principles

In March 2009, the GASB issued Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. The objective of this Statement is to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. This Statement establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

The initial distinction that is made in reporting fund balance information is identifying amounts that are considered *nonspendable*, such as fund balance associated with inventories. This Statement also provides for additional classification as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

The *restricted* fund balance category includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation. The *committed* fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. Amounts in the *assigned* fund balance classification are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the remaining amount that is not restricted or committed. *Unassigned* fund balance is the residual classification for the government's general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other funds, the unassigned classification should be used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned. Governments are required to disclose information about the processes through which constraints are imposed on amounts in the committed and assigned classifications.

Governments also are required to classify and report amounts in the appropriate fund balance classifications by applying their accounting policies that determine whether restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned amounts are considered to have been spent. Disclosure of the policies in the notes to the financial statements is required.

This Statement also provides guidance for classifying stabilization amounts on the face of the balance sheet and requires disclosure of certain information about stabilization arrangements in the notes to the financial statements.

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund type, capital projects fund type, debt service fund type, and permanent fund type are clarified by the provisions in this Statement. Interpretations of certain terms within the definition of the special revenue fund type have been provided and, for some governments, those interpretations may affect the activities they choose to report in those funds. The capital projects fund type definition also was clarified for better alignment with the needs of preparers and users. Definitions of other governmental fund types also have been modified for clarity and consistency.

The District has implemented the provisions of this statement for the year ended June 30, 2011.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34.* The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity. The requirements of Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and the related financial reporting requirements of Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-for State and Local Governments*, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues that have arisen since the issuance of those Statements. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2012. Earlier application is encouraged.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #2 – INVESTMENTS

Investment in County Treasury - The Building Fund – 2006 Measure A of the District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Building Fund – 2006 Measure A and the District manages exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its funds in the County Treasury Pool. The fair value of deposits at the County Treasury and LAIF at June 30, 2011 was \$78,625,717 and \$23,643,232, respectively and the weighted average maturity of the pools were less than one year.

Credit Risk - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measure by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Building Fund -2006 Measure A only invests in County Pooled Investment Funds which are not required to be rated.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits - This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Building Fund – 2006 Measure A or District's deposits may not be returned to it. The Building Fund – 2006 Measure A does not have any deposits subject to custodial credit risk.

NOTE #3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2011, consists of the following:

Vendor credits (City of Brentwood) Interest \$ 3,164 108,814 \$ 111,978

NOTE #4 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund receivables/payables (Due To/Due From)

As of June 30, 2011, the Building Fund - 2006 Measure A has an amount of \$280,178 due from other capital project funds for interest income from the bond measure that as part of a detailed reconciliation process was thoroughly scrutinized back to its inception resulting in adjustments in the distribution between funds of this fixed amount of revenue. In addition, the Building Fund - 2006 Measure A owes \$839,087 to other capital project funds for election, legal and audit costs and interest adjustments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #5 – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2011, consists of the following:

Vendor payables	\$ 835,188
Construction retentions	4,000
	\$ 839,188

NOTE #6 – FUND BALANCES

Fund balance is composed of the following elements:

Restricted \$ 89,065,050

NOTE #7 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2011, the Building Fund - 2006 Measure A had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

	Remaining	Expected
	Construction	Date of
CAPITAL PROJECT	Commitment	Completion
Diablo Valley		_
Commons project	\$ 1,422,128	Nov-12
Los Medanos		
Student services remodel	821,808	Jun-15
Nursing/EMT remodel	2,782,418	Mar-12
Brentwood new center	41,356	Sep-17
Campus project admin	47,751	Jun-12
Program studies	4,295	ongoing
Contra Costa		
Athletic area	30,450	Jun-11
College center	251,252	May-14
District Wide		
Energy conservation studies	5,674	ongoing
Campus project admin	20,005	Jun-12
IT Infrastructure	134,992	ongoing
Program studies	37,729	ongoing
Total 2006 Bond	\$ 5,599,858	

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Contra Costa Community College District (the District) Building Fund (2006 Measure A), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated January 3, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2006 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2006 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2006 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2006 Measure A) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the governing board, management, and the 2006 Measure A Citizen Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Pleasanton, California

Vairinek, Time, Day & Co ZZP

January 3, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

BUILDING FUND - 2006 MEASURE A SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUND MEASURE A PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2011

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Financial Summary	3
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	5
Schedule of Findings	6



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Trustees And Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Contra Costa Community College District (the District), 2006 Measure A Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2011.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's 2006 Measure A Building Fund internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's 2002 Measure A Building Fund internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District 2006 Measure A Building Fund were expended only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Pleasanton, California January 3, 2012

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co ZZP

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The 2006 Measure A General Obligation Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

The District received authorization at an election held on March 6, 2006, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$286,500,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2006 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first, second, and third series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2006 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUE

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include providing funds needed to continue to renovate and modernize District facilities.

The funds will be used to renovate aging college facilities (making energy savings, safety, and handicapped accessibility improvements), and update facilities used by transfer, career, and vocational students (for math, science, nursing, technology and other programs), at Contra Costa College, Diablo Valley College, Los Medanos College, San Ramon Valley and Brentwood Centers.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55% of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55%, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other district operating expenses.
- 2. The district must list the specific facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

- 4. Requires the district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund 2006 Measure A Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of 2006 Measure A.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund 2006 Measure A Fund were in support of Measure A and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2011 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

- 1. The 2006 general obligation bond funds in the amount of \$286,500,000 were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on March 6, 2006. The first series of the 2006 bonds was issued in August 2007, in the principal amount of \$73,000,000. The second series of the 2006 bonds was issued in March 2010 in the principal amount of \$73,000,000.
- 2. Total expenditures and commitments as of June 30, 2011, were \$81,661,456.

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

3. An analysis of expenditures is as follows as of June 30, 2011 is as follows:

Prior		7/1/10 to		
 Periods		6/30/11		Total
\$ 1,109,970	\$	1,223,064	\$	2,333,034
-		80		80
1,386,787		1,209,844		2,596,631
 38,921,882		17,251,154		56,173,036
41,418,639		19,684,142		61,102,781
 14,958,817				14,958,817
\$ 56,377,456	\$	19,684,142		76,061,598
_		_		5,599,858
			\$	81,661,456
\$	Periods \$ 1,109,970 1,386,787 38,921,882 41,418,639 14,958,817	Periods \$ 1,109,970 \$ 1,386,787 38,921,882 41,418,639 14,958,817	Periods 6/30/11 \$ 1,109,970 \$ 1,223,064 - 80 1,386,787 1,209,844 38,921,882 17,251,154 41,418,639 19,684,142 14,958,817 -	Periods 6/30/11 \$ 1,109,970 \$ 1,223,064 \$ - 80 1,386,787 1,209,844 38,921,882 17,251,154 41,418,639 19,684,142 14,958,817 -

Available unspent funds from the bonds as of June 30, 2011 are:

	Prior Periods	7/1/10 to 6/30/11	Total
Net available proceeds for construction	\$ 146,000,000	\$ -	\$ 146,000,000
Local revenue	7,399,659	7,390,854	14,790,513
Interest earned	3,242,125	470,714	3,712,839
Transfer in from 2002 Measure A Fund	623,296	 _	 623,296
Total revenues received	\$ 157,265,080	\$ 7,861,568	165,126,648
Total expenditures and commitments			(81,661,456)
Amount available			\$ 83,465,192

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 for the Building Fund 2006 Measure A. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure A as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included nonpayroll transactions totaling \$7,206,875. This represents 39 percent of the non-payroll expenditures of \$18,460,998. We also examined payroll related expenditures aggregating \$337,943, which is 28% of the payroll related expenditures.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund 2006 Measure A were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds held in the Building Fund 2006 Measure A were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Vavinel, Trine, Day & Co XLP

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Contra Costa Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund 2006 Measure A fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted that funds held in the Building Fund 2006 Measure A, and expended by the District, were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

Pleasanton, California January 3, 2012

BUILDING FUND-2006 MEASURE A SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

BUILDING FUND 2002 MEASURE A FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2011

BUILDING FUND - 2002 MEASURE A TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2011

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Building Fund – 2002 Measure A	
Balance Sheet	2
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	3
Notes to Financial Statements	4
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> Standards Independent Auditors' Report	10
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	13
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	14



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Measure A Citizens' Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund 2002 Measure A, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to the 2002 Measure A and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in the notes to the financial statements, the accompanying financial statements reflect certain changes required as a result of the implementation of GASB Statement No. 54 for the year ended June 30, 2011.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund 2002 Measure A of the Contra Costa Community College District at June 30, 2011, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 3, 2012, on our consideration of the District Building Fund's (2002 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California January 3, 2012

BUILDING FUND - 2002 MEASURE A BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2011

ASSETS Cash Accounts receivable Due from other funds Other assets Total Assets	\$ 10,012,018 13,741 842,848 384,439 \$ 11,253,046
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 976,501
Due to other funds	842,852
Total Liabilities	1,819,353
FUND EQUITY	
Restricted	9,433,693
Total Fund Equity	9,433,693
Total Liabilities	
and Fund Equity	\$ 11,253,046

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BUILDING FUND - 2002 MEASURE A STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

EXPENDITURES	
Capital outlay	\$ 4,887,345
Total Expenditures	4,887,345
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/	
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(4,887,345)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	14,321,038
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 9,433,693

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund - 2002 Measure A conforms to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund - 2002 Measure A accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California College Budget and Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements were prepared to comply with the requirements of proposition 39 related to the 55% voter approval requirements for bond issues and include only the Building Fund - 2002 Measure A established to account for the expenditures of the general obligation bonds approved in March 5, 2002. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the complete financial position and results of operations of the Contra Costa Community College District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Fund Accounting

The District accounts for the Building Fund - 2002 Measure A in a fund, which is considered to be a separate accounting entity from all other funds of the District. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual governmental funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2011, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable – amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Committed – amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the governing board. The governing board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through ordinances or resolutions approved by the governing board.

Assigned – amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. Under the District's adopted policy, only the governing board or chief business officer may assign amounts for specific purposes.

Unassigned – all other spendable amounts.

Spending Order

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Changes in Accounting Principles

In March 2009, the GASB issued Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. The objective of this Statement is to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. This Statement establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

The initial distinction that is made in reporting fund balance information is identifying amounts that are considered *nonspendable*, such as fund balance associated with inventories. This Statement also provides for additional classification as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

The *restricted* fund balance category includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation. The *committed* fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. Amounts in the *assigned* fund balance classification are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the remaining amount that is not restricted or committed. *Unassigned* fund balance is the residual classification for the government's general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other funds, the unassigned classification should be used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned. Governments are required to disclose information about the processes through which constraints are imposed on amounts in the committed and assigned classifications.

Governments also are required to classify and report amounts in the appropriate fund balance classifications by applying their accounting policies that determine whether restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned amounts are considered to have been spent. Disclosure of the policies in the notes to the financial statements is required.

This Statement also provides guidance for classifying stabilization amounts on the face of the balance sheet and requires disclosure of certain information about stabilization arrangements in the notes to the financial statements.

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund type, capital projects fund type, debt service fund type, and permanent fund type are clarified by the provisions in this Statement. Interpretations of certain terms within the definition of the special revenue fund type have been provided and, for some governments, those interpretations may affect the activities they choose to report in those funds. The capital projects fund type definition also was clarified for better alignment with the needs of preparers and users. Definitions of other governmental fund types also have been modified for clarity and consistency.

The District has implemented the provisions of this statement for the year ended June 30, 2011.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34.* The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity. The requirements of Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and the related financial reporting requirements of Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-for State and Local Governments*, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues that have arisen since the issuance of those Statements. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2012. Earlier application is encouraged.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #2 – INVESTMENTS

Investment in County Treasury - The Building Fund – 2002 Measure A of the District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Interest Rate Risk - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The Building Fund – 2002 Measure A and the District manages exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its funds in the County Treasury Pool. The fair value of deposits at the County Treasury at June 30, 2011 was \$10,022,285 and the weighted average maturity of the pool is less than one year.

Credit Risk - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measure by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Building Fund -2002 Measure A only invests in County Pooled Investment Funds which are not required to be rated.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits - This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Building Fund – 2002 Measure A or District's deposits may not be returned to it. The Building Fund – 2002 Measure A does not have any deposits subject to custodial credit risk.

NOTE #3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2011, consists of the following:

Interest \$ 13,741

NOTE #4 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund receivables/payables (Due To/Due From)

As of June 30, 2011, the Building Fund - 2002 Measure A has an amount of \$842,848 due from other capital project funds for election, legal, and audit costs and interest income from the bond measure that as part of a detailed reconciliation process was thoroughly scrutinized back to its inception resulting in adjustments in the distribution between funds of this fixed amount of revenue. In addition, the Building Fund - 2002 Measure A owes \$842,852 to other capital project funds for the Diablo Valley College Life Sciences building project and interest refunding.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE #5 – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2011, consists of the following:

Vendor payables	\$ 592,062
Construction retention	384,439
Total accounts payable	\$ 976,501

NOTE #6 – FUND BALANCES

Fund balance is composed of the following elements:

Restricted \$ 9,433,693

NOTE #7 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2011, the Building Fund - 2002 Measure A had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

CAPITAL PROJECT	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion			
			Diablo Valley		
			Planeterium	\$ 6,076	Jun-11
Los Medanos					
Science building	6,454	Jun-11			
Contra Costa					
Card access	9,556	Dec-11			
Applied arts building	176,111	Oct-11			
Music building	96,291	Jun-12			
VA building	2,417	Jun-11			
Utility upgrade	17,224	Jun-12			
College center	531,535	May-14			
Total All Projects	\$ 845,664				

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Contra Costa Community College District (the District) Building Fund (2002 Measure A), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated January 3, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2002 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2002 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2002 Measure A) internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Contra Costa Community College District Building Fund's (2002 Measure A) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the governing board, management, and the 2002 Measure A Citizen Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Pleasanton, California

Vairinek, Time, Day & Co ZZP

January 3, 2012

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (2002 MEASURE A)

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

BUILDING FUND - 2002 MEASURE A SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

2002 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUND MEASURE A PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2011

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Financial Summary	3
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	5
Schedule of Findings	6



VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Trustees And Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Contra Costa Community College District Martinez, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Contra Costa Community College District (the District), 2002 Measure A Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2011.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's 2002 Measure A Building Fund internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's 2002 Measure A Building Fund internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District 2002 Measure A Building Fund were expended only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Pleasanton, California January 3, 2012

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co ZZP

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The 2002 Measure A General Obligation Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

The District received authorization at an election held on March 5, 2002, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$120,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2002 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first, second, and third series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2002 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUE

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include providing funds needed to continue to renovate and modernize District facilities.

The funds will be used to repair outmoded facilities (for energy savings, safety, and handicapped accessibility improvements), and update facilities used by vocational programs (for fire science, nursing, police, culinary arts, technical programs, etc), and transfer programs at Contra Costa College, Diablo Valley College, Los Medanos College, and San Ramon Valley Center, and the Brentwood/Delta Science Centers.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55% of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55%, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other district operating expenses.
- 2. The district must list the specific facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

- 4. Requires the district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund 2002 Measure A Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the 2002 Measure A.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund 2002 Measure A Fund were in support of the 2002 Measure A and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2011 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

- 1. The 2002 general obligation bond funds in the amount of \$120,000,000 were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on March 5, 2002. The first series of the 2002 bonds was issued in July 2002 and in the principal amount of \$50,000,000. The second series of the 2002 bonds was issued in August 2004 and in the principal amount of \$45,000,000. The third series of the 2002 bonds was issued in May 2006 and in the principal amount of 25,000,000.
- 2. Total expenditures and commitments as of June 30, 2011, were \$134,342,800.

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

3. An analysis of expenditures is as follows as of June 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Prior		7/1/10 to		
]	Periods		6/30/2011		Total
\$	463,136	\$	-	\$	463,136
	995,597		-		995,597
12	6,527,762		4,887,345		131,415,107
12	7,986,495		4,887,345		132,873,840
	623,296		-		623,296
\$ 12	8,609,791	\$	4,887,345		133,497,136
					845,664
				\$	134,342,800
	\$ 	Periods \$ 463,136 995,597 126,527,762 127,986,495	Periods \$ 463,136 \$ 995,597 126,527,762 127,986,495 623,296	Periods 6/30/2011 \$ 463,136 \$ - 995,597 - 126,527,762 4,887,345 127,986,495 4,887,345 623,296 -	Periods 6/30/2011 \$ 463,136 \$ - \$ 995,597 - 126,527,762 127,986,495 4,887,345 623,296 -

Available unspent funds from the bonds as of June 30, 2011 are:

	Prior	7/1/10 to	
	Periods	6/30/2011	Total
Net available proceeds for construction	\$120,000,000	\$ -	\$ 120,000,000
Transfer in from Measure A+	14,958,817	-	14,958,817
Local revenue	3,600	-	3,600
Interest earned	7,968,412	-	7,968,412
Total revenues received	\$142,930,829	\$ -	142,930,829
Total expenditures and commitments	**.		(134,342,800)
Amount available			\$ 8,588,029

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 for the Building Fund 2002 Measure A. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure A as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included transactions totaling \$1,288,482. This represents 26 percent of the total expenditures of \$4,887,345, including expenditures related to transferred funds.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund 2002 Measure A were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds held in the Building Fund 2002 Measure A were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Contra Costa Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund 2002 Measure A fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted that funds held in the Building Fund 2002 Measure A, and expended by the District, were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

Vavrinel, Trine, Day & Co XXP

Pleasanton, California January 3, 2012

BUILDING FUND-2002 MEASURE A SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.