Shared Governance Council

MINUTES
March 13, 2019
2:00 - 4:00 p.m., Room SS4-409

MEMBERS PRESENT: Nicole Almassey, Deborah Baskin, Louie Giambattista, Natalie Hannum, Susie Hansen, Bob Kratochvil, Morgan Lynn, Dave Vigo.
OTHER ATTENDEES: Greg Stoup (presenters); Chialin Hsieh, Paul West (guest); Jennifer Adams (support).

Item #	Topic/Activity	Handouts/ References	Action(s)
STANDING ITEMS:			
1.	**Public Comment** – N/A		
2.	**Welcome** President Kratochvil welcomed Dave Vigo as a new management team rep on SGC (replacing Alex Porter),		
3.	**Review** • Agenda for March 13th President Kratochvil reviewed the agenda, which was approved as amended (moving up Vision for Success item). *MSC: Almassey/Vigo.* o *Yeas – Almassey, Baskin, Giambattista, Hannum, Hansen, Lynn; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.* • Minutes (draft) from February 27th The minutes were deferred until the next meeting.		Agenda approved
4.	**Old Business [N/A]**		
4a.	**Resource Allocation Process (RAP)** Dave Vigo distributed and displayed the list of SGC's compiled proposal ratings. The Council discussed: the prospect (and possible challenges) of allocating ongoing vs. one-time funds, given the potential revenue decrease on the horizon with the new Student-Centered Funding Formula; and the need to "do the best we can," with good intentions for the institution and using the information currently available. The SGC members went through the entire list of proposals from the Budget Request Database, item by item, and identified: proposals that had already been funded/addressed; proposals that are able to be funded through other sources (e.g. Perkins, VP contingency, RDA, deferred/scheduled maintenance, etc…); proposals to be deferred; and proposals to fund via RAP. President Kratochvil asked Mr. Vigo to provide a "clean" copy of the spreadsheet list for the next meeting, as SGC will take action on the recommended items then.	[Budget Request Database](#)	
4b.	**Mission Statement Review Process** President Kratochvil explained that he had PPT prepared to show today regarding the review process, as he wants to make sure the group is in agreement about next steps before moving forward. Nicole Almassey provided clarification on the information presented at the Classified Senate meeting last Monday; ACCJC Standard I and rating criteria; the current LMC Mission Statement; what is missing or should be included; and whether the current statement addresses the Standard elements. The SGC members agreed with Morgan Lynn that it is important to have identical information presented to the Academic and Classified Senates, so arrangements will be made to have this placed on the next agenda for Academic Senate. President Kratochvil displayed the PPT outlining the proposed process, which he reviewed with the Council members: SGC reaching agreement on the timeline and steps; SGC narrowing down drafts before sharing with the campus community; getting feedback (Senates, governance committees, etc); select final draft, re-route to Senates for vote; then SGC takes action and sends it forward to the Governing Board for approval. He explained that, originally, the timeline concluded the process this semester; that may be too ambitious, however, so it will now conclude in the Fall. SGC agreed to continue this discussion at the next meeting, along with further review of the draft Mission Statements, which won't be shared outside of the Council yet.	[ACCJC Guide & Standards](#)	
4c.	**Employee Engagement Survey** In the interest of time, this item was deferred to a future meeting.		

5.	New Business		
	5a.	**Online Education Initiative (OEI) CTE Grant** In the interest of time, this item was deferred to a future meeting.	
	5b.	**Vision for Success Goals & Student-Centered Funding Formula** Greg Stoup, District Senior Dean of Research & Planning, provided an overview of the CCCCO Vision for Success plan, its goals, and recommendations for each of the indicators. His presentation included: the CCCCO memo dated November 6, 2018; a review of baseline Student Success Metrics (2016-17); and a preview of what is required to have been achieved. He noted that performance towards reaching the Vision for Success goals is not tied to the Student-Centered Funding Formula. Mr. Stoup outlined the indicators being recommended by District Research & Planning for each of the goals: Goal #1 – indicator 1a and 1b; Goal #2 – indicator 2a; Goal #3 – indicator 3; Goal #4 – indicator 4c; Goal #5 – data not provided by CCCCO yet.	Overview, Goals & Indicators
6.	**Budget Update** (*standing item*) – N/A		
7.	**Accreditation** (*standing item*) – N/A		
8.	**Curriculum** – N/A		
9.	**Updates & Announcements/Constituency Reports:** • President Kratochvil: no report. • Academic Senate: Louie Giambattista reported that the Academic Senate had an "exciting" meeting on Monday regarding GE. He noted that the discussion was all over the spectrum, from consideration of a new proposal to reconstituting GE. • Classified Senate: Nicole Almassey provided an update on the Classified Senate's Crab Feed, which was held over the weekend. The sold out event raised about $5,000 and will fund approximately 41 scholarships. President Kratochvil and the other SGC members praised the Classified Senate for all of their work to put on a wonderful event. • Management Team: no report. • Student Senate: no rep present. • Curriculum: no report. • Other: N/A.		
10	**Community College Items of Interest: Legislation, Research & Best Practices** [no items]		
11	**Campus Communication: Actions & Notable Items to Report from SGC** The SGC members will share information with their respective constituency groups about the progress and next steps for RAP.		
12	**Adjournment** The SGC meeting adjourned at 4:25 p.m.		