

Shared Governance Council

MINUTES

October 26, 2016 2:00 - 4:00 p.m., Room CO-420

MEMBERS PRESENT: Nicole Almassey, Israel Castro, Kasey Gardner, Louie Giambattista, Natalie Hannum, Linda Kohler, Bob Kratochvil, Carla Rosas, Grace Villegas A'kilah Moore (presenter), Jennifer Adams (committee support), student observer

Item #	Topic/Activity Handw Refere	 Action(s)
	DING ITEMS:	
1.	Public Comment – N/A	
2.	Welcome & Introductions President Kratochvil welcomed the attendees to the meeting.	
	Review • Agenda for October 26, 2016 • Magenda was reviewed and approved by the Council members. MSC: Gardner/Villegas. • Yeas – Almassey, Castro, Gardner, Giambattista, Hannum, Kohler, Rosas, Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A.	Agenda approved
3.	 Minutes (draft) from May 11th and September 28th The May 11th minutes, originally distributed and reviewed at the September 14th meeting, were approved by SGC. MSC: Kohler/Almassey. Yeas – Almassey, Giambattista, Hannum, Kohler, Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – Castro, Gardner, Rosas. The minutes from the meeting held on September 28th, were reviewed and approved by SGC. MSC: Gardner/Castro. Yeas – Almassey, Castro, Gardner, Giambattista; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – Hannum, Kohler, Rosas, Villegas. 	Minutes approved (5/11/16 and 9/28/16)
4.	Old Business	
	 4a. 2016-17: SGC "Year-at-a-Glance" SGC Position Paper President Kratochvil followed up on SGC's prior discussions about reviewing/revisiting parameters for a quorum, voting, etc He shared examples from research the President's Office conducted on other colleges and governance structures. Many of the examples are quite different – some use a majority (50% +1) or 2/3 vote, others use different voting requirements for different types of action items – but they all have operating procedures that are clearly documented and posted on the committee website. SGC discussed the examples presented, and will work toward reaching consensus on quorum/voting parameters. Sub-Committee Charges & Reporting At the next SGC meeting, the EEO Committee will provide an update and their proposed charge(s) for 2016-17. 	
	 4b. Budget & Resource Allocation Strong Workforce Program President Kratochvil asked Natalie Hannum to provide SGC with information about the new, statewide Strong Workforce Program. Dean Hannum distributed materials to the group and provided an overview, including: funding (\$5M for 4CD), relationship to program review, faculty involvement, data "scrubbing," and linkage to existing K-12 partnership efforts (e.g. pathways). She noted that the program is intended to aid colleges in addressing the need for middle-skill technical jobs. A district-level was held yesterday, including leadership from all three colleges, and Dean Hannum and her CCC/DVC counterparts developing plans and budgets to identify what is needed for moving forward. President Kratochvil explained that it is likely the District will utilize the existing allocation model to divide funding among the three colleges; in addition, the use of these funds won't go through RAP, but will come to SGC as an informational item (similar to the SEP and 3SP budgets/plans). 	

5.	Nev	w Business	
	5a.	 New Instructional Program Proposal – Social Justice Studies: African American Studies A'kilah Moore presented and reviewed the Social Justice Studies: African American Studies proposal materials, which had been provided to SGC in advance. SGC unanimously approved the new program proposal. <i>MSC: Hannum/Giambattista</i>. Yeas – Almassey, Castro, Gardner, Giambattista, Hannum, Kohler, Rosas, Villegas; Nays – N/A; Abstentions – N/A. 	Program proposal approved for Social Justice Studies: African American Studies
6.	Up. • •	Jamba Juice is already on board. He shared that LMCAS has also been discussing holding an event in downtown Pittsburg to highlight the College and student success. Student clubs also have a number of ideas in the works, such as: ways to increase/expand marketing and advertising to students; and the Computer Science Club developing an app to help connect students (e.g. selling/exchanging books, forming study groups across class sections, etc)	
7.		 mmunity College Items of Interest: Legislation, Research & Best Practices California College Promise President Kratochvil displayed the website for this statewide initiative, for which Chancellor Benjamin is serving as the lead, and shared information about existing programs at other colleges/service areas (e.g. the "Richmond Promise" in West Contra Costa County, Mendocino College, etc). LMC will explore establishing a local initiative, which will likely involve dialogue with K-12 and business/industry partners. The Council members discussed the prospect of establishing such a program to benefit LMC students, noting that it is a great idea that could present some strategic issues (e.g. potential conflicts with fundraising for current programmatic needs, the impact on students' persistence and motivation if they "don't have skin in the game," the inverse relationship between the economy and enrollment, etc). The group also talked about continued efforts to address/overcome the stigma of graduating high school students choosing to attend a community college instead of a four-year institution [e.g. local high schools holding "signing day" events that showcase students in order of their higher education selection: 1) UC, 2) CSU, 3) community college]. 	
8.		mpus Communication: Actions & Notable Items to Report from SGC The SGC members will share with their constituency groups the information about approval of the Social Justice Studies: African American Studies program proposal.	
9.		journment e SGC meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m. <i>MSC: Rosas/Giambattista</i> .	